arXiv:1508.07185v2 [math.NT] 23 Sep 2015

FUNDAMENTALS OF p-ADIC MULTIPLE L-FUNCTIONS AND EVALUATION OF THEIR SPECIAL VALUES

HIDEKAZU FURUSHO, YASUSHI KOMORI, KOHJI MATSUMOTO, AND HIROFUMI TSUMURA

Abstract. We construct p-adic multiple L-functions in several variables, which are generalizations of the classical Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions, by using a specific p-adic measure. Our construction is from the p-adic analytic side of view, and we establish various fundamental properties of these functions:

(a) Evaluation at non-positive integers: We establish their intimate connection with the complex multiple zeta-functions by showing that the special values of the p-adic multiple L-functions at non-positive integers are expressed by the twisted multiple Bernoulli numbers, which are the special values of the complex multiple zeta-functions at non-positive integers.

(b) Multiple Kummer congruences: We extend Kummer congruences for Bernoulli numbers to congruences for the twisted multiple Bernoulli numbers.

(c) Functional relations with a parity condition: We extend the vanishing property of the Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions with odd characters to our p-adic multiple L-functions.

(d) Evaluation at positive integers: We establish their close relationship with the p-adic twisted multiple star polylogarithms by showing that the special values of the p-adic multiple L-functions at positive integers are described by those of the p-adic twisted multiple star polylogarithms at roots of unity, which generalizes the result of Coleman in the single variable case.

0. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ be the set of natural numbers, non-negative integers, rational integers, rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively. For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, denote by $\Re s$ and $\Im s$ the real and the imaginary parts of s, respectively.

The aim of the present paper is to introduce p-adic multiple L-functions, multiple analogues of Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions, and show basic properties, particularly their special values at both positive and non-positive integer points. The construction of these functions is motivated by the technique of desingularization of complex multiple zeta functions and a certain evaluation method of their special values at non-positive integer points, which were introduced and developed in our previous paper [11].

In Definition 1.16, we construct the multivariable **p-adic multiple L-function**,

$$L_{p,r}(s_1,\ldots,s_r;\omega^{k_1},\ldots,\omega^{k_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c). \tag{0.1}$$

It is a p-adic valued function in p-adic variables $s_1,\ldots,s_r$, which is associated with each $\omega^{k_1},\ldots,\omega^{k_r}$ (ω: the Teichmüller character, $k_1,\ldots,k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$), $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$. It can be seen as a multiple analogue of the Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions (cf. [13, 19]) and the p-adic double L-functions (introduced in [18]), as well as a p-adic analogue of the complex multivariable

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11S40, 11G55; Secondary 11M32, 11S80.

Key words and phrases. p-adic multiple L-function, p-adic multiple polylogarithm, multiple Kummer congruence, Coleman's p-adic integration theory.

Research of the authors supported by Grants-in-Aid for Science Research (no. 24684001 for HF, no. 25400026 for YK, no. 25287002 for KM, no. 15K04788 for HT, respectively), JSPS.

multiple zeta-function

$$\zeta_r((s_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j)) := \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_r=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \xi_j^{m_j} (m_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + m_j\gamma_j)^{-s_j}. \tag{0.2}$$

It is known that $\zeta_r((k_j);(1);(1))$ for $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$ and $k_r \geqslant 2$ is called the multiple zeta value (henceforth MZV). It can be constructed by a multiple analogue of the p-adic Γ-transform of a p-adic measure (see Koblitz [16]). Another type of p-adic multiple zeta-functions, which are p-adic versions of Barnes multiple zeta-functions, was studied by Kashio [15].

Evaluation of (0.1) at integral points is one of the main themes of this paper. We obtain special values of (0.1) at non-positive integers as follows:

Theorem 2.1 (Evaluation at non-positive integers). *For* $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$L_{p,r}(-n_1, \dots, -n_r; \omega^{n_1}, \dots, \omega^{n_r}; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r; c) = \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1 \\ \xi_1 \neq 1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1 \\ \xi_r \neq 1}} \mathfrak{B}((n_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))$$
$$+ \sum_{d=1}^{r} \left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_d \leqslant r} \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1} \cdots \sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1} \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1 \\ \xi_1 \neq 1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1 \\ \xi_r \neq 1}} \mathfrak{B}((n_j);((\prod_{j \leqslant i_l} \rho_{i_l})^{\gamma_j} \xi_j);(\gamma_j)).$$

Here, $\mathfrak{B}((n_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))$'s are the twisted multiple Bernoulli numbers (Definition 1.4), which were shown to be the special values of complex multivariable multiple zeta-functions (0.2) at non-positive integers in [11]. The above equality might be regarded as a p-adic and multiple analogue of the well-known equality $\zeta(-n) = -\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1}$ of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$.

On the other hand, we get the following special values at positive integers:

Theorem 3.41 (Evaluation at positive integers). *For* $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$L_{p,r}(n_1, \dots, n_r; \omega^{-n_1}, \dots, \omega^{-n_r}; 1, \dots, 1; c) = \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1 \\ \xi_1 \neq 1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1 \\ \xi_r \neq 1}} Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}\left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}, \frac{\xi_2}{\xi_3}, \dots, \frac{\xi_r}{\xi_{r+1}}\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{d=1}^{r} \left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_d \leqslant r} \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1} \cdots \sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1} \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1 \\ \xi_1 \neq 1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1 \\ \xi_r \neq 1}} Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}\Big(\big(\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{d} \rho_{i_l}^{\delta_{i_l j}} \xi_j}{\xi_{j+1}}\big)\Big).$$

Here, each term on the right-hand side is a special value of a p-adic TMSPL [1] $Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z)$ at $z = 1$ with some $\xi_i \in \mu_c$, which will be constructed in Subsection 3.3, by Coleman's p-adic integration theory [7]. It is a p-adic analogue of the complex twisted multiple star polylogarithm

$$Li^{\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z) := \sum_{0 < k_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant k_r} \frac{\xi_1^{k_1} \cdots \xi_r^{k_r}}{k_1^{n_1} \cdots k_r^{n_r}} z^{k_r}.$$

Here 'star' means that we add equalities in the running indices of the summation of the complex twisted multiple polylogarithm

$$Li_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z) := \sum_{0 < k_1 < \cdots < k_r} \frac{\xi_1^{k_1} \cdots \xi_r^{k_r}}{k_1^{n_1} \cdots k_r^{n_r}} z^{k_r},$$

which is a twisted version of multiple polylogarithm $Li_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(1, \cdots, 1; z)$. The star version of complex multiple polylogarithm was already considered by Ohno-Zudilin [20] and Ulanskii [23], but the multiple star polylogarithm in the p-adic framework seems to be first introduced in the present paper. The equality in Theorem 3.41 can be seen as a p-adic and multiple analogue of the equality $\zeta(n) = Li_n(1)$ with the polylogarithm $Li_n(z) = Li^\star_n(1; z)$. In the special case $r = 1$, Coleman's equation $L_p(n; \omega^{1-n}) = (1-\frac{1}{p^n})Li^{(p),\star}_n(1)$ can be recovered (Example 3.42). In order to prove Theorem 3.41, we introduce the notion of a *p-adic rigid TMSPLs* $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z)$ and of a *p-adic partial TMSPLs* $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z)$ (Definition 3.4 and 3.16 respectively) as intermediary functions.

---

[1] In this paper, TMSPL stands for the twisted multiple star polylogarithm.

Up until now, we were not aware of any relationship between p-adic multiple polylogarithms given by the first-named author (see [9]) and multiple analogues of p-adic L-functions given by the second-, the third- and the fourth-named authors (see [18, Remark 4.4]). Despite having been investigated for totally different reasons, the above theorems indicate a close connection at their special values.

The following multiple Kummer congruences and functional relations are byproducts of our evaluations:

Theorem 2.10 (Multiple Kummer congruences). *For* $m_1, \dots, m_r, n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *such that* $m_j \equiv n_j \bmod (p-1)p^{l_j - 1}$*, and* $l_j \in \mathbb{N}$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}} \mathfrak{B}((m_j); (\xi_j); (\gamma_j))\\
&+\sum_{d=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{d} \sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r}\ \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1}\cdots\sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1}\ \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}} \mathfrak{B}((m_j); ((\prod_{j\leqslant i_l}\rho_{i_l})^{\gamma_j}\xi_j); (\gamma_j))\\
&\equiv \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}} \mathfrak{B}((n_j); (\xi_j); (\gamma_j))\\
&\qquad+\sum_{d=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{d} \sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r}\ \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1}\cdots\sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1}\ \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}} \mathfrak{B}((n_j); ((\prod_{j\leqslant i_l}\rho_{i_l})^{\gamma_j}\xi_j); (\gamma_j))\\
&\qquad\qquad\left(\bmod\ p^{\min\{l_j \mid 1\leqslant j\leqslant r\}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The above congruence includes an ordinary Kummer congruence for Bernoulli numbers as a very special case, as well as a possibly new type of congruence for Bernoulli number such as double and triple Kummer congruences (Example 2.13 and 2.14).

Theorem 2.15 (Functional relations). *For* $r \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$*, let* $k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ *such that* $k_1+\cdots+k_r \not\equiv r$ (mod 2)*,* $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$*,* $\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_r \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ *and* $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$*. Then for any* $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{p,r}(s_1, \dots, s_r; \omega^{k_1}, \dots, \omega^{k_r}; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_r; c)\\
&\quad= -\sum_{\substack{J\subsetneq\{1,\dots,r\}\\ 1\in J}} \Big(\frac{1-c}{2}\Big)^{r-|J|}\\
&\qquad\times L_{p,|J|}\Big(\Big(\sum_{j_\mu(J)\leqslant l<j_{\mu+1}(J)} s_l\Big)_{\mu=1}^{|J|}; \big(\omega^{\sum_{j_\mu(J)\leqslant l<j_{\mu+1}(J)} k_l}\big)_{\mu=1}^{|J|}; (\gamma_j)_{j\in J}; c\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

The functional relations are linear relations among p-adic multiple L-functions, which reduce (0.1) as a linear sum of (0.1) with lower r. An important feature is that the relations are valid under a parity condition of $k_1 + \cdots + k_r$. It extends the known fact in the single variable case that any Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-function with odd character is identically zero. In the double variable case, we recover the result of [18] that a certain p-adic double L-function is equal to a Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-function up to a minor factor.

1. p-ADIC MULTIPLE L-FUNCTIONS

In this section, we will construct certain p-adic multiple L-functions (Definition 1.16) which can be regarded as multiple analogues of Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions, as well as p-adic analogues of complex multiple L- (zeta-)functions. We then investigate some of their p-adic properties; in particular, we shall prove p-adic analyticity with respect to certain variables, in Theorem 1.21, and p-adic continuity with respect to other variables, in Theorem 1.24.

1.1. Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions.

Here, we first review the fundamentals of Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions.

For a prime number p, let $\mathbb{Z}_p$, $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, $\mathbb{C}_p$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ be respectively the set of p-adic integers, p-adic numbers, the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the p-adic completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, the ring of integers of $\mathbb{C}_p$, and the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$. Fixing embeddings $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$, we identify $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ simultaneously with a subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}_p$. Denote by $|\cdot|_p$ the p-adic absolute value, and by μ_c the group of cth roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}_p$ for $c \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) = \mathbb{C}_p \cup \{\infty\}$ with $|\infty|_p = \infty$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be the unit group in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and define

$$W := \begin{cases} \{\pm 1\} & (\text{if } p = 2) \\ \{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \mid \xi^{p-1} = 1\} & (\text{if } p \geqslant 3), \end{cases}$$

$$q := \begin{cases} 4 & (\text{if } p = 2) \\ p & (\text{if } p \geqslant 3). \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

It is well-known that W forms a set of complete representatives of $(\mathbb{Z}_p/q\mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$, and

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = W \times (1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

where we denote this correspondence by $x = \omega(x) \cdot \langle x \rangle$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ (see [14, Section 3]). Noting $\omega(m) \equiv m \pmod{q\mathbb{Z}_p}$ for $(m, q) = 1$, we see that

$$\omega \, : \, (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \to W \tag{1.2}$$

is a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor q, which is called the *Teichmüller character*.

We recall Koblitz' definition of the twisted Bernoulli numbers.

Definition 1.1 ([16, p. 456])**.** For any root of unity ξ, the **twisted Bernoulli numbers** $\{\mathfrak{B}_n(\xi)\}$ are defined by

$$\mathfrak{H}(t; \xi) = \frac{1}{1 - \xi e^t} = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}_n(\xi) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \tag{1.3}$$

where we formally let $(-1)! = 1$.

Remark 1.2. Koblitz [16], in fact, defined the more general twisted Bernoulli numbers $B_{n,\chi,\xi}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$), associated with a primitive Dirichlet character χ of conductor f by

$$\sum_{a=0}^{f-1} \frac{\chi(a)\xi^a t e^{at}}{\xi^f e^{ft} - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\chi,\xi} \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Note that $B_{n,\chi} = B_{n,\chi,1}$ is the generalized Bernoulli number (see [25, Chapter 4]). The above $\mathfrak{B}_n(\xi)$ corresponds to $B_{n,\chi_0,\xi}$ for the trivial character χ_0.

In the case $\xi=1$, we have

$$\mathfrak{B}_{-1}(1)=-1, \qquad \mathfrak{B}_n(1)=-\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \quad (n\in\mathbb{N}_0). \tag{1.4}$$

We obtain from (1.3) that $\mathfrak{B}_n(\xi)\in\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{B}_0(\xi)=\frac{1}{1-\xi}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_1(\xi)=\frac{\xi}{(1-\xi)^2}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_2(\xi)=\frac{\xi(\xi+1)}{(1-\xi)^3}, \\ &\mathfrak{B}_3(\xi)=\frac{\xi(\xi^2+4\xi+1)}{(1-\xi)^4}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_4(\xi)=\frac{\xi(\xi^3+11\xi^2+11\xi+1)}{(1-\xi)^5}, \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Let μ_k be the group of kth roots of unity. Using the relation

$$\frac{1}{X-1}-\frac{k}{X^k-1}=\sum_{\substack{\xi\in\mu_k\\ \xi\neq 1}}\frac{1}{1-\xi X} \qquad (k\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}) \tag{1.6}$$

for an indeterminate X, we obtain the following:

Proposition 1.3. *Let $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$. For $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$\left(1-c^{n+1}\right)\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1}=\sum_{\substack{\xi^c=1\\ \xi\neq 1}}\mathfrak{B}_n(\xi). \tag{1.7}$$

Let us consider the following multiple analogues of twisted Bernoulli numbers (see [11]):

Definition 1.4. Let $r\in\mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{C}$, and let $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r\in\mathbb{C}^\times\setminus\{1\}$ be roots of unity. Set

$$\mathfrak{H}_r((t_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j)):=\prod_{j=1}^r\mathfrak{H}(\gamma_j(\sum_{k=j}^r t_k);\xi_j)=\prod_{j=1}^r\frac{1}{1-\xi_j\exp\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^r t_k\right)} \tag{1.8}$$

and define the **twisted multiple Bernoulli numbers** $\{\mathfrak{B}(n_1,\ldots,n_r;(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))\}$ by

$$\mathfrak{H}_r((t_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))=\sum_{n_1=0}^\infty\cdots\sum_{n_r=0}^\infty\mathfrak{B}(n_1,\ldots,n_r;(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))\frac{t_1^{n_1}}{n_1!}\cdots\frac{t_r^{n_r}}{n_r!}. \tag{1.9}$$

In the case $r=1$, we have $\mathfrak{B}_n(\xi_1)=\mathfrak{B}(n;\xi_1;1)$. Note that $\mathfrak{H}_r((t_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))$ is holomorphic around the origin with respect to the parameters $t_1,\ldots,t_r$, and hence, the singular part does not appear on the right-hand side of (1.9).

We immediately obtain the following from (1.3), (1.8) and (1.9):

Proposition 1.5. *Let $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{C}$ and $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r\in\mathbb{C}^\times\setminus\{1\}$ be roots of unity. Then $\mathfrak{B}(n_1,\ldots,n_r;(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))$ can be expressed as a polynomial in $\{\mathfrak{B}_n(\xi_j)\,|\,1\leqslant j\leqslant r,\ n\geqslant 0\}$ and $\{\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\}$ with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients, hence, a rational function in $\{\xi_j\}$ and $\{\gamma_j\}$ with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients.*

Example 1.6. Substituting (1.3) into (1.8) for the case $r=2$ and $\xi_j\neq 1$ $(j=1,2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}_2(t_1,t_2;\xi_1,\xi_2;\gamma_1,\gamma_2)&=\frac{1}{1-\xi_1\exp(\gamma_1(t_1+t_2))}\frac{1}{1-\xi_2\exp(\gamma_2t_2)} \\ &=\left(\sum_{m=0}^\infty\mathfrak{B}_m(\xi_1)\frac{\gamma_1^m(t_1+t_2)^m}{m!}\right)\left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty\mathfrak{B}_n(\xi_2)\frac{\gamma_2^nt_2^n}{n!}\right) \\ &=\sum_{m=0}^\infty\sum_{n=0}^\infty\mathfrak{B}_m(\xi_1)\mathfrak{B}_n(\xi_2)\left(\sum_{\substack{k,j\geqslant 0\\ k+j=m}}\frac{t_1^kt_2^j}{k!j!}\right)\gamma_1^m\gamma_2^n\frac{t_2^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $l := n + j$, we have

$$\mathfrak{H}_2(t_1,t_2;\xi_1,\xi_2;\gamma_1,\gamma_2)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{l}\binom{l}{j}\mathfrak{B}_{k+j}(\xi_1)\mathfrak{B}_{l-j}(\xi_2)\gamma_1^{k+j}\gamma_2^{l-j}\frac{t_1^k}{k!}\frac{t_2^l}{l!},$$

which gives

$$\mathfrak{B}(k,l;\xi_1,\xi_2;\gamma_1,\gamma_2)=\sum_{j=0}^{l}\binom{l}{j}\mathfrak{B}_{k+j}(\xi_1)\mathfrak{B}_{l-j}(\xi_2)\gamma_1^{k+j}\gamma_2^{l-j}\quad (k,l\in\mathbb{N}_0). \tag{1.10}$$

Definition 1.7. For $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re\gamma_j > 0$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$, define

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_r((t_j);(\gamma_j);c)&=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(\frac{1}{\exp\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)-1}-\frac{c}{\exp\left(c\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)-1}\right)\\&=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-c^m)B_m\frac{\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)^{m-1}}{m!}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{1.11}$$

In particular, when $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$, by use of (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_r((t_j);(\gamma_j);c)&=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\sum_{\substack{\xi_j^c=1\\ \xi_j\neq 1}}\frac{1}{1-\xi_j\exp\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)}\\&=\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}}\mathfrak{H}_r((t_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j)).\end{aligned}\tag{1.12}$$

Now we recall the Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions which are defined by use of certain p-adic integrals with respect to the p-adic measure (for the details, see [17, Chapter 2], [16], [25, Chapter 5]). Following Koblitz [17, Chapter 2], we consider the p-adic measure $\mathfrak{m}_z$ on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ for $z \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ with $|z-1|_p \geqslant 1$ by

$$\mathfrak{m}_z\left(j+p^N\mathbb{Z}_p\right):=\frac{z^j}{1-z^{p^N}}\quad\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}\quad(0\leqslant j\leqslant p^N-1). \tag{1.13}$$

Corresponding to this measure, we can define the p-adic integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}f(x)d\mathfrak{m}_z(x):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{a=0}^{p^N-1}f(a)\mathfrak{m}_z\left(a+p^N\mathbb{Z}_p\right)\quad\in\mathbb{C}_p \tag{1.14}$$

for any continuous function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p$. The p-adic integral over a compact open subset U of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is defined by

$$\int_U f(x)d\mathfrak{m}_z(x):=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}f(x)\chi_U(x)d\mathfrak{m}_z(x)\quad\in\mathbb{C}_p$$

where $\chi_U(x)$ is the characteristic function of U.

For an arbitrary $t \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $|t|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$, we see that e^{tx} is a continuous function in $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We obtain the following proposition by regarding (1.3) as the Maclaurin expansion of $\mathfrak{H}(t;\xi)$ in $\mathbb{C}_p$ and using Koblitz' results [17, Chapter 2, Equations (1.4), (2.4) and (2.5)]:

Proposition 1.8. *For any primitive root of unity $\xi \in \mathbb{C}_p^\times \setminus \{1\}$ of order prime to p,*

$$\mathfrak{H}(t;\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}e^{tx}d\mathfrak{m}_\xi(x)\quad\in\mathbb{C}_p[[t]], \tag{1.15}$$

and so

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}x^n d\mathfrak{m}_\xi(x)=\mathfrak{B}_n(\xi)\qquad(n\in\mathbb{N}_0). \tag{1.16}$$

For any $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$, we consider

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c\left(j+p^N\mathbb{Z}_p\right) := \sum_{\substack{\xi\in\mathbb{C}_p\setminus\{1\}\\ \xi^c=1}} \mathfrak{m}_\xi\left(j+p^N\mathbb{Z}_p\right), \tag{1.17}$$

which is a p-adic measure on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ introduced by Mazur [17, Chapter 2]. Considering the Galois action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we see that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-valued measure, and hence, a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued measure.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c\left(pj+p^N\mathbb{Z}_p\right) &= \sum_{\substack{\xi\in\mathbb{C}_p\setminus\{1\}\\ \xi^c=1}} \frac{\xi^{pj}}{1-\xi^{p^N}}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathbb{C}_p\setminus\{1\}\\ \rho^c=1}} \frac{\rho^{j}}{1-\rho^{p^{N-1}}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c\left(j+p^{N-1}\mathbb{Z}_p\right),\end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

because $(c,p)=1$. From (1.7) and (1.16), we have the following.

Lemma 1.9 (cf. [17, Chapter 2, (2.6)]). *For any* $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ *with* $(c,p)=1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^n d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x) = \left(c^{n+1}-1\right)\zeta(-n) = \left(1-c^{n+1}\right)\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \in \mathbb{Q} \quad (n\in\mathbb{N}), \tag{1.19}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} 1\, d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x) = \frac{c-1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_p. \tag{1.20}$$

Note that c is odd when $p=2$.

Now we recall the definition of **Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions** as follows:

Definition 1.10 ([17, Chapter 2, (4.5)]). For $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$,

$$L_p(s;\omega^k) := \frac{1}{\langle c\rangle^{1-s}\omega^k(c)-1}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \langle x\rangle^{-s}\omega^{k-1}(x)d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x)$$

for $s\in\mathbb{C}_p$ with $|s|_p < qp^{-1/(p-1)}$, which is a $\mathbb{C}_p$-valued function.

Remark 1.11. We note that $\langle x\rangle^{-s}$ can be defined as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$-valued rigid-analytic function (cf. Notation 3.1, below) in $s\in\mathbb{C}_p$ with $|s|_p < qp^{-1/(p-1)}$ (see [25, p. 54]).

Proposition 1.12 ([17, Chapter 2, Theorem], [25, Theorem 5.11 and Corollary 12.5]). *For* $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, $L_p(s;\omega^k)$ *is rigid-analytic in the sense of Notation 3.1, below (except for a pole at* $s=1$ *when* $k\equiv 0$ *mod* $p-1$*), and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}L_p(1-m;\omega^k) &= \left(1-\omega^{k-m}(p)p^{m-1}\right)L\left(1-m,\omega^{k-m}\right)\\ &= -\left(1-\omega^{k-m}(p)p^{m-1}\right)\frac{B_{m,\omega^{k-m}}}{m} \quad (m\in\mathbb{N}).\end{aligned} \tag{1.21}$$

We know that $B_{m,\omega^{k-m}}=0$ $(m\in\mathbb{N})$ when k is odd. Hence we obtain the following.

Proposition 1.13 ([25, p.57, Remark]). *When* k *is any odd integer,* $L_p(s;\omega^k)$ *is the zero-function.*

Remark 1.14. The above fact will be generalized to the multiple case as functional relations among the p-adic multiple L-functions defined in the following section (see Theorem 2.15 and Example 2.19).

1.2. **Construction and properties of p-adic multiple L-functions.** As a double analogue of $L_p(s;\omega^k)$, the second-, the third- and the fourth-named authors defined a certain p-adic double L-function associated with the Teichmüller character for any odd prime p in [18]. In this section, we will slightly generalize this by introducing a p-adic multiple L-function $L_{p,r}(s_1,\ldots,s_r;\omega^{k_1},\ldots,\omega^{k_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)$ (Definition 1.16) and establishing its p-adic rigid analyticity with respect to the parameters $s_1,\ldots,s_r$ (Theorem 1.21), and its p-adic continuity with respect to the parameter c (Theorem 1.24), which yields a non-trivial p-adic property of its special values (Corollary 1.30). At the end of this subsection, we discuss the construction of a p-adic analogue of the entire zeta-function $\zeta_r^{\rm des}(s_1,\ldots,s_r;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r)$ constructed in [11].

Let $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r\in\mathbb{C}_p$ be roots of unity other than 1 whose orders are prime to p.

By combining (1.15) and (1.8), we then have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{H}_r((t_j),(\xi_j),(\gamma_j)) &= \prod_{j=1}^r \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} e^{\gamma_j(\sum_{k=j}^r t_k)x_j} d\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_j}(x_j)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^r\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\gamma_j\right)t_k\right)\prod_{j=1}^r d\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_j}(x_j)\\ &= \sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_r=0}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}\prod_{k=1}^r\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\gamma_j\right)^{n_k}\prod_{j=1}^r d\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_j}(x_j)\frac{t_1^{n_1}\cdots t_r^{n_r}}{n_1!\cdots n_r!}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, as a multiple analogue of Proposition 1.8, we have the following:

Proposition 1.15. *For $n_1,\ldots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{Z}_p$ and roots $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r\in\mathbb{C}_p^\times\setminus\{1\}$ of unity whose orders are prime to p,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}\prod_{k=1}^r(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j\gamma_j)^{n_k}\prod_{j=1}^r d\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_j}(x_j) = \mathfrak{B}((n_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j)). \tag{1.22}$$

We set

$$\left(\mathbb{Z}_p^r\right)'_{\{\gamma_j\}} := \left\{(x_j)\in\mathbb{Z}_p^r \,\middle|\, p\nmid x_1\gamma_1,\ p\nmid(x_1\gamma_1+x_2\gamma_2),\ldots,\ p\nmid\sum_{j=1}^r x_j\gamma_j\right\}, \tag{1.23}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{X}_r(d) := \left\{(s_1,\ldots,s_r)\in\mathbb{C}_p^r \,\middle|\, |s_j|_p < d^{-1}p^{-1/(p-1)}\ (1\leqslant j\leqslant r)\right\} \tag{1.24}$$

for $d\in\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Definition 1.16. For $r\in\mathbb{N}$, $k_1,\ldots,k_r,\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$, the associated **p-adic multiple L-function** of depth r is the $\mathbb{C}_p$-valued function for $(s_j)\in\mathfrak{X}_r\left(q^{-1}\right)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&L_{p,r}(s_1,\ldots,s_r;\omega^{k_1},\ldots,\omega^{k_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)\\ &:= \int_{\left(\mathbb{Z}_p^r\right)'_{\{\gamma_j\}}} \langle x_1\gamma_1\rangle^{-s_1}\langle x_1\gamma_1+x_2\gamma_2\rangle^{-s_2}\cdots\langle\sum_{j=1}^r x_j\gamma_j\rangle^{-s_r}\\ &\qquad\times\omega^{k_1}(x_1\gamma_1)\cdots\omega^{k_r}(\sum_{j=1}^r x_j\gamma_j)\prod_{j=1}^r d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j).\end{aligned} \tag{1.25}$$

Remark 1.17. When $\gamma_1 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, we see that $\left(\mathbb{Z}_p^r\right)'_{\{\gamma_j\}}$ is an empty set, so we interpret $L_{p,r}((s_j);(\omega^{k_j});(\gamma_j);c)$ as the zero-function.

Remark 1.18. Note that we can define, more generally,

$$L_{p,r}(s_1,\ldots,s_r;(\omega^{k_j});(\gamma_j);(c_j)) := \int_{\left(\mathbb{Z}_p^r\right)'_{\{\gamma_j\}}} \langle x_1\gamma_1\rangle^{-s_1}\langle x_1\gamma_1 + x_2\gamma_2\rangle^{-s_2}\cdots\langle\sum_{j=1}^r x_j\gamma_j\rangle^{-s_r} \times \omega^{k_1}(x_1\gamma_1)\cdots\omega^{k_r}(\sum_{j=1}^r x_j\gamma_j)\prod_{j=1}^r d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{c_j}(x_j)$$

for $c_j \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c_j,p)=1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. Then we can naturally generalize the arguments in the remaining sections to this generalized case.

In the next section, we will see that the above p-adic multiple L-function can be seen as a p-adic interpolation of a certain finite sum (2.2) of complex multiple zeta functions (cf. Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2).

The following example shows that the Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions are essentially the same as our p-adic multiple L-functions with $r=1$.

Example 1.19. For $s \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $|s|_p < qp^{-1/(p-1)}$, $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p,1}(s;\omega^{k-1};\gamma_1;c) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}\langle x\gamma_1\rangle^{-s}\omega^{k-1}(x\gamma_1)d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x) \\ &= \langle\gamma_1\rangle^{-s}\omega^{k-1}(\gamma_1)(\langle c\rangle^{1-s}\omega^k(c)-1)\cdot L_p(s;\omega^k). \end{aligned} \tag{1.26}$$

The next example shows that when $r=2$, we recover the p-adic double L-functions introduced in [18].

Example 1.20. Let p be an odd prime, $r=2$, $c=2$ and $\eta \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then, for $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $|s_j|_p < p^{1-1/(p-1)}$ $(j=1,2)$ and $k_1,k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, our p-adic double L-function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{p,2}(s_1,s_2;\omega^{k_1},\omega^{k_2};1,\eta;2) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times\times\mathbb{Z}_p}\langle x_1\rangle^{-s_1}\langle x_1+x_2\eta\rangle^{-s_2}\omega^{k_1}(x_1)\omega^{k_2}(x_1+x_2\eta)d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_2(x_1)d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_2(x_2). \end{aligned} \tag{1.27}$$

The next theorem asserts that our p-adic multiple L-functions are rigid-analytic (cf. Notation 3.1, below) with respect to the parameters $s_1,\ldots,s_r$:

Theorem 1.21. *Let $k_1,\ldots,k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$. Then $L_{p,r}((s_j);(\omega^{k_j});(\gamma_j);c)$ has the following expansion*

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{p,r}(s_1,\ldots,s_r;\omega^{k_1},\ldots,\omega^{k_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c) \\ &= \sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_r=0}^\infty C\left(n_1,\ldots,n_r;(\omega^{k_j});(\gamma_j);c\right)s_1^{n_1}\cdots s_r^{n_r} \end{aligned}$$

for $(s_j) \in \mathfrak{X}_r\left(q^{-1}\right)$, where $C\left((n_j);(\omega^{k_j});(\gamma_j);c\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ satisfies

$$\left|C\left(n_1,\ldots,n_r;(\omega^{k_j});(\gamma_j);c\right)\right|_p \leqslant \left(qp^{-1/(p-1)}\right)^{-n_1-\cdots-n_r}. \tag{1.28}$$

In order to prove this theorem, we show the following two lemmas, which are generalizations of [25, Proposition 5.8 and its associated lemma]:

Lemma 1.22. *Let f be a continuous function from $\mathbb{Z}_p^r$ to $\mathbb{Q}_p$ defined by*

$$f(X_1,\ldots,X_r)=\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_r=0}^{\infty} a(n_1,\ldots,n_r)\prod_{j=1}^{r}\binom{X_j}{n_j},$$

where $a(n_1,\ldots,n_r)\in\mathbb{Z}_p$. Suppose there exist $d,M\in\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $d<p^{-1/(p-1)}<1$ such that $|a(n_1,\ldots,n_r)|_p\leqslant Md^{\sum_{j=1}^r n_j}$ for any $(n_j)\in\mathbb{N}_0^r$. Then $f(X_1,\ldots,X_r)$ may be expressed as

$$f(X_1,\ldots,X_r)=\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_r=0}^{\infty} C(n_1,\ldots,n_r)X_1^{n_1}\cdots X_r^{n_r}\in\mathbb{Q}_p[[X_1,\ldots,X_r]],$$

which converges absolutely in $\mathfrak{X}_r(d)$, whenever

$$|C(n_1,\ldots,n_r)|_p\leqslant M(d^{-1}p^{-1/(p-1)})^{-n_1-\cdots-n_r}.$$

Lemma 1.23. *Let*

$$P_l(X_1,\ldots,X_r)=\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_r\geqslant 0} a(n_1,\ldots,n_r;l)\prod_{j=1}^{r}X_j^{n_j}\quad (l\in\mathbb{N}_0)$$

be a sequence of power series with $\mathbb{C}_p$-coefficients which converges on a fixed subset D of $\mathbb{C}_p^r$, and suppose that:

(i) *when $l\to\infty$, $a(n_1,\ldots,n_r;l)\to a(n_1,\ldots,n_r;0)$ for each $(n_j)\in\mathbb{N}_0^r$,*

(ii) *for each $(X_j)\in D$ and any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an $N_0=N_0((X_j),\varepsilon)$ such that*

$$\left|\sum_{\substack{(n_j)\in\mathbb{N}_0^r\\ \sum n_j>N_0}} a(n_1,\ldots,n_r;l)\prod_{j=1}^{r}X_j^{n_j}\right|_p<\varepsilon$$

uniformly in $l\in\mathbb{N}$.

Then $P_l((X_j))\to P_0((X_j))$ as $l\to\infty$ for any $(X_j)\in D$.

Proof of Lemma 1.23. For any ε and (X_j), we can choose N_0 as above. Then

$$|P_l((X_j))-P_0((X_j))|_p\leqslant\max_{\sum n_j\leqslant N_0}\left\{\varepsilon,|a(n_1,\ldots,n_r;0)-a(n_1,\ldots,n_r;l)|_p\cdot\prod_{j=1}^{r}|X_j|_p^{n_j}\right\}\leqslant\varepsilon$$

for any sufficiently large l. □

Proof of Lemma 1.22. We can write

$$\binom{X}{n}=\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{m=0}^{n}b(n,m)X^m\qquad(n\in\mathbb{N}_0),$$

where $b(n,m)\in\mathbb{Z}$. For any $l\in\mathbb{N}$, let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_l(X_1,\ldots,X_r)&=\sum_{\substack{n_1,\ldots,n_r\geqslant 0\\ n_1+\cdots+n_r\leqslant l}} a(n_1,\ldots,n_r)\prod_{j=1}^{r}\binom{X_j}{n_j}\\ &=\sum_{\substack{0\leqslant m_1,\ldots,m_r\leqslant l\\ m_1+\cdots+m_r\leqslant l}} C(m_1,\ldots,m_r;l)\prod_{j=1}^{r}X_j^{m_j},\end{aligned}$$

say. We show that $\mathcal{P}_l(X_1,\ldots,X_r)$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) for $P_l(X_1,\ldots,X_r)$ in Lemma 1.23. In fact, using the notation

$$\lambda_n(m)=\begin{cases}1 & (m\leqslant n)\\ 0 & (m>n),\end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_l(X_1,\ldots,X_r)&=\sum_{n_1+\cdots+n_r\leqslant l}\frac{a(n_1,\ldots,n_r)}{n_1!\cdots n_r!}\sum_{m_1\leqslant n_1}\cdots\sum_{m_r\leqslant n_r}\prod_{j=1}^r b(n_j,m_j)X_j^{m_j}\\&=\sum_{n_1+\cdots+n_r\leqslant l}\frac{a(n_1,\ldots,n_r)}{n_1!\cdots n_r!}\sum_{m_1\leqslant l}\cdots\sum_{\substack{m_r\leqslant l\\ m_1+\cdots+m_r\leqslant l}}\prod_{j=1}^r b(n_j,m_j)\lambda_{n_j}(m_j)X_j^{m_j}\\&=\sum_{\substack{m_1,\ldots,m_r\leqslant l\\ m_1+\cdots+m_r\leqslant l}}\left(\sum_{\substack{m_1\leqslant n_1,\ldots,m_r\leqslant n_r\\ n_1+\cdots+n_r\leqslant l}}\frac{a(n_1,\ldots,n_r)}{n_1!\cdots n_r!}\prod_{j=1}^r b(n_j,m_j)\right)\prod_{j=1}^r X_j^{m_j}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$C(m_1,\ldots,m_r;l)=\sum_{\substack{m_1\leqslant n_1,\ldots,m_r\leqslant n_r\\ n_1+\cdots+n_r\leqslant l}}\frac{a(n_1,\ldots,n_r)}{n_1!\cdots n_r!}\prod_{j=1}^r b(n_j,m_j).$$

Therefore, noting $b(n_j,m_j)\in\mathbb{Z}$ and using $|n!|_p>p^{-n/(p-1)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|C(m_1,\ldots,m_r;l)|_p&\leqslant\max_{\substack{m_1\leqslant n_1,\ldots,m_r\leqslant n_r\\ n_1+\cdots+n_r\leqslant l}}\frac{Md^{\sum n_j}}{|n_1!\cdots n_r!|_p}\\&\leqslant\max_{\substack{m_1\leqslant n_1,\ldots,m_r\leqslant n_r\\ n_1+\cdots+n_r\leqslant l}}M\left(dp^{1/(p-1)}\right)^{\sum n_j}\leqslant M\left(d^{-1}p^{-1/(p-1)}\right)^{-m_1-\cdots-m_r}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.29}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|C(m_1,\ldots,m_r;l+k)-C(m_1,\ldots,m_r;l)|_p\\&\leqslant\left|\sum_{\substack{m_1\leqslant n_1,\ldots,m_r\leqslant n_r\\ l<n_1+\cdots+n_r\leqslant l+k}}\frac{a(n_1,\ldots,n_r)}{n_1!\cdots n_r!}\prod_{j=1}^r b(n_j,m_j)\right|_p\\&\leqslant\max_{\substack{m_1\leqslant n_1,\ldots,m_r\leqslant n_r\\ l<n_1+\cdots+n_r\leqslant l+k}}\frac{Md^{\sum n_j}}{|n_1!\cdots n_r!|_p}\\&\leqslant\max_{\substack{m_1\leqslant n_1,\ldots,m_r\leqslant n_r\\ l<n_1+\cdots+n_r\leqslant l+k}}M\left(dp^{1/(p-1)}\right)^{\sum n_j}\leqslant M\left(d^{-1}p^{-1/(p-1)}\right)^{-l-1}\end{aligned}$$

for any $l\in\mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\{C(m_1,\ldots,m_r;l)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in l, so there exists

$$C(m_1,\ldots,m_r;0)=\lim_{l\to\infty}C(m_1,\ldots,m_r;l)\in\mathbb{Q}_p\quad((m_j)\in\mathbb{N}_0^r)$$

with $|C(m_1,\ldots,m_r;0)|_p\leqslant M(d^{-1}p^{-1/(p-1)})^{-m_1-\cdots-m_r}$. For $(X_j)\in\mathfrak{X}_r(d)$ defined by (1.24), let

$$\mathcal{P}_0(X_1,\ldots,X_r)=\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_r\geqslant 0}C(n_1,\ldots,n_r;0)X_1^{n_1}\cdots X_r^{n_r}.$$

Then $\mathcal{P}_0(X_1,\ldots,X_r)$ converges absolutely in $\mathfrak{X}_r(d)$. Moreover, by (1.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\sum_{\substack{n_1,\ldots,n_r\geqslant 0\\ n_1+\cdots+n_r\geqslant N_0}}C(n_1,\ldots,n_r;l)X_1^{n_1}\cdots X_r^{n_r}\right|_p\\&\leqslant\max_{n_1+\cdots+n_r\geqslant N_0}\left\{M\prod_{j=1}^r\left(d^{-1}p^{-1/(p-1)}\right)^{-n_j}|X_j|_p^{n_j}\right\}\to 0\quad(n_1,\ldots,n_r\to\infty)\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in l, for $(X_j)\in\mathfrak{X}_r(d)$. Therefore, by Lemma 1.23, we obtain

$$f(X_1,\ldots,X_r)=\lim_{l\to\infty}\mathcal{P}_l(X_1,\ldots,X_r)=\mathcal{P}_0(X_1,\ldots,X_r)$$

for $(X_j) \in \mathfrak{X}_r(d)$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.21. Considering the binomial expansion in (1.25), we have, for $(s_j) \in \mathfrak{X}_r = \mathfrak{X}_r\left(q^{-1}\right)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{p,r}(s_1,\ldots,s_r;\omega^{k_1},\ldots,\omega^{k_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)\\ &\quad=\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_r=0}^{\infty}\Bigg\{\int_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^r)'_{\{\gamma_j\}}}\prod_{\nu=1}^{r}\left(\langle\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}x_j\gamma_j\rangle-1\right)^{n_\nu}\\ &\qquad\times\omega^{k_1}(x_1\gamma_1)\cdots\omega^{k_r}(\sum_{j=1}^{r}x_j\gamma_j)\prod_{j=1}^{r}d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)\Bigg\}\prod_{j=1}^{r}\binom{-s_j}{n_j}\\ &\quad=\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_r=0}^{\infty}a(n_1,\ldots,n_r)\prod_{j=1}^{r}\binom{-s_j}{n_j}, \end{aligned}$$

say. Since $\langle\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}x_j\gamma_j\rangle\equiv 1 \bmod q$, we have $|a(n_1,\ldots,n_r)|_p\leqslant q^{-\sum n_j}$. Applying Lemma 1.22 with $d=q^{-1}$ and $M=1$, we obtain the proof of Theorem 1.21. $\square$

Next, we discuss the p-adic continuity of our p-adic multiple L-function (1.25) with respect to the parameter c.

Theorem 1.24. *Let $s_1,\ldots,s_r\in\mathbb{Z}_p$, $k_1,\ldots,k_r\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$. Then the map*

$$c\mapsto L_{p,r}(s_1,\ldots,s_r;\omega^{k_1},\ldots,\omega^{k_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)$$

is continuously extended to $c\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ as a p-adic continuous function.

Moreover the extension is uniformly continuous with respect to both parameters c and (s_j). Namely, for any given $\varepsilon>0$, there always exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$|L_{p,r}(s_1,\ldots,s_r;\omega^{k_1},\ldots,\omega^{k_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)-L_{p,r}(s'_1,\ldots,s'_r;\omega^{k_1},\ldots,\omega^{k_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c')|_p<\varepsilon$$

holds for any $c,c'\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ with $|c-c'|_p<\delta$, and any $s_j,s'_j\in\mathbb{Z}_p$ with $|s_j-s'_j|_p<\delta$ $(1\leqslant j\leqslant r)$.

To prove Theorem 1.24, we prepare several lemmas and propositions.

Let $\mathrm{Meas}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the space of all $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued measures on $\mathbb{Z}_p$. By [25, Subsection 12.2], it is identified with the completed group algebra $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]$;

$$\mathrm{Meas}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)\simeq\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]. \tag{1.30}$$

Again by loc. cit. Theorem 7.1, it is identified with the one parameter formal power series ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ by sending $1\in\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]$ to $1+T\in\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$:

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]\simeq\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]. \tag{1.31}$$

By loc. cit. Subsection 12.2, we obtain the following:

Lemma 1.25. *Let $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$. By the above correspondences, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c\in\mathrm{Meas}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ corresponds to $g_c(T)\in\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ defined by*

$$g_c(T)=\frac{1}{(1+T)-1}-\frac{c}{(1+T)^c-1}. \tag{1.32}$$

Lemma 1.26. *The map $c\mapsto g_c(T)$ is uniquely extended to a p-adic continuous function $g:\mathbb{Z}_p^\times\to\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$.*

Proof. The series $(1+T)^c$ is well-defined in $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and is continuous with respect to $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ because

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] \simeq \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/\big((1+T)^{p^N}-1\big)$$

(cf. [25, Theorem 7.1]). When $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $\frac{c}{(1+T)^c-1}$ belongs to $\frac{1}{T}+\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$. Hence, $g_c(T)$ belongs to $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$. □

For $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, we denote $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c$ to be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued measure on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ which corresponds to $g_c(T)$ by (1.30) and (1.31). We note that it coincides with (1.17) when $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$.

Remark 1.27. For the parameters $s_1,\dots,s_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $|s_j|_p < qp^{-1/(p-1)}$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$, $k_1,\dots,k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, the p-adic multiple L-function

$$L_{p,r}(s_1,\dots,s_r;\omega^{k_1},\dots,\omega^{k_r};\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_r;c)$$

is defined by (1.25) with the above measure $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c$.

Let $C(\mathbb{Z}_p^r,\mathbb{C}_p)$ be the $\mathbb{C}_p$-Banach space of continuous $\mathbb{C}_p$-valued functions on $\mathbb{Z}_p^r$ with $||f|| := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_p^r}|f(x)|_p$, and let $\mathrm{Step}(\mathbb{Z}_p^r)$ be the set of $\mathbb{C}_p$-valued locally constant functions on $\mathbb{Z}_p^r$. The subspace $\mathrm{Step}(\mathbb{Z}_p^r)$ is dense in $C(\mathbb{Z}_p^r,\mathbb{C}_p)$ (cf. [25, Section 12.1]).

Proposition 1.28. *For each function $f \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{C}_p)$, the map*

$$\Phi_f : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \to \mathbb{C}_p$$

sending $c \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(z)d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(z)$ is p-adically continuous.

Proof. First, assume that $f=\chi_{j,N}$, where $\chi_{j,N}$ $(0 \leqslant j < p^N$, $N \in \mathbb{N})$ is the characteristic function of the set $j+p^N\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $\Phi_f(c)$ is calculated to be $a_j(g_c)$ (cf. [25, Section 12.2]), which is defined by

$$g_c(T) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p^N-1} a_i(g_c)(1+T)^i \pmod{(1+T)^{p^N}-1}.$$

Since the map $c \mapsto g_c$ is continuous, $c \mapsto \Phi_f(c)=a_j(g_c)$ is also continuous in this case. This implies the continuity of Φ_f in c when $f \in \mathrm{Step}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Next, assume $f \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{C}_p)$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Then for any $g \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{C}_p)$ and $c' \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_f(c)-\Phi_f(c') &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(z)d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(z) - \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(z)d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{c'}(z)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(f(z)-g(z))\cdot d(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(z)-\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{c'}(z)) + \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} g(z)\cdot d(\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(z)-\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{c'}(z))\\ &= (\Phi_{f-g}(c)-\Phi_{f-g}(c')) + (\Phi_g(c)-\Phi_g(c')).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\mathrm{Step}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is dense in $C(\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{C}_p)$, there exists $g_0 \in \mathrm{Step}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with $||f-g_0||<\varepsilon$. Thus, for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, we have

$$|\Phi_{f-g_0}(c)|_p = \left|\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(f(z)-g_0(z))\cdot d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(z)\right|_p \leqslant ||f-g_0|| < \varepsilon,$$

because $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued measure.

On the other hand, since $g_0 \in \mathrm{Step}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$|\Phi_{g_0}(c)-\Phi_{g_0}(c')|_p < \varepsilon$$

for any $c' \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ with $|c-c'|_p < \delta$.

Therefore, for $f \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p)$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there always exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\Phi_f(c) - \Phi_f(c')|_p \leqslant \max\{|\Phi_{f-g_0}(c)|_p,\, |\Phi_{f-g_0}(c')|_p,\, |\Phi_{g_0}(c) - \Phi_{g_0}(c')|_p\} < \varepsilon$$

for any $c' \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ with $|c - c'|_p < \delta$. □

By generalizing our arguments above, we obtain the following:

Proposition 1.29. *For each function $f \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p^r, \mathbb{C}_p)$, the map*

$$\Phi_f^r : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \to \mathbb{C}_p$$

sending $c \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r} f(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \prod_{j=1}^r d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)$ is p-adically continuous.

A proof of Theorem 1.24 is attained by the above proposition. This is the reason why we restrict Theorem 1.24 to the case for $s_1, \ldots, s_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proof of Theorem 1.24. Let us fix notation: Define

$$\begin{aligned} &W(s_1, \ldots s_r; x_1, \ldots, x_r) \\ &:= \langle x_1\gamma_1\rangle^{-s_1} \langle x_1\gamma_1 + x_2\gamma_2\rangle^{-s_2} \cdots \langle \sum_{j=1}^r x_j\gamma_j\rangle^{-s_r} \cdot \omega^{k_1}(x_1\gamma_1) \cdots \omega^{k_r}(\sum_{j=1}^r x_j\gamma_j) \cdot \chi_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^r)'_{\{\gamma_j\}}}(x_1, \ldots, x_r), \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^r)'_{\{\gamma_j\}}}(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ is the characteristic function of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_p^r\right)'_{\{\gamma_j\}}$ (cf. Definition 1.16). Then we have

$$L_{p,r}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; \omega^{k_1}, \ldots, \omega^{k_r}; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c) := \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r} W(s_1, \ldots, s_r; x_1, \ldots, x_r) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j). \tag{1.33}$$

Below we will prove that the map

$$\Psi : (s_1, \ldots, s_r, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^r \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \mapsto L_{p,r}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; \omega^{k_1}, \ldots, \omega^{k_r}; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

is continuous:

First, by Proposition 1.29 we see that it is continuous with respect to $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ for each fixed $(s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^r$. Namely, for each fixed $(s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, and for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there always exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|L_{p,r}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; \omega^{k_1}, \ldots, \omega^{k_r}; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c) - L_{p,r}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; \omega^{k_1}, \ldots, \omega^{k_r}; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c')|_p < \varepsilon;$$

equivalently,

$$|\Psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r; c) - \Psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r; c')|_p < \varepsilon \tag{1.34}$$

for all $c' \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ with $|c - c'|_p < \delta$.

Next, take $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon > p^{-M} > 0$. Since it is easy to see that there exists $\delta' > 0$ such that

$$(1 + u)^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p^M}$$

for any $u \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $|d|_p < \delta'$ (In fact, we may take δ' as $\delta' < p^{-M}$), we have

$$x^s \equiv x^{s'} \pmod{p^M}$$

for all $x \in (1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $s, s' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $|s - s'| < \delta'$ for such δ'. Therefore

$$W(s_1, \ldots, s_r; x_1, \ldots, x_r) \equiv W(s_1', \ldots, s_r'; x_1, \ldots, x_r) \pmod{p^M}$$

for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ when $|s_i - s_i'|_p < \delta'$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant r)$. Thus, in this case, the inequality

$$|L_{p,r}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; \omega^{k_1}, \ldots, \omega^{k_r}; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r;c) - L_{p,r}(s_1', \ldots, s_r'; \omega^{k_1}, \ldots, \omega^{k_r}; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c)|_p$$

$$\leqslant p^{-M} < \varepsilon$$

holds for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, because $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued measure. Therefore, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there always exists $\delta' > 0$ such that, when $|s_i - s_i'|_p < \delta'$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant r)$,

$$|\Psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r; c) - \Psi(s_1', \ldots, s_r'; c)|_p < \varepsilon \tag{1.35}$$

holds for all $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.

By (1.34) and (1.35), we see that for each fixed $(s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, and for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there always exist $\delta, \delta' > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\Psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r; c) - \Psi(s_1', \ldots, s_r'; c')\right|_p \\ &\quad = \left|\Psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r; c) - \Psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r; c') + \Psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r; c') - \Psi(s_1', \ldots, s_r'; c')\right|_p \\ &\quad \leqslant \max\left\{\left|\Psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r; c) - \Psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r; c')\right|_p, \left|\Psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r; c') - \Psi(s_1', \ldots, s_r'; c')\right|_p\right\} < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for $|s_i - s_i'|_p < \delta'$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant r)$ and $|c - c'|_p < \delta$. Thus, we get the desired continuity of $\Psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r; c)$.

The uniform continuity of $\Psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r; c)$ is almost obvious because now we know that the function is continuous and the source set $\mathbb{Z}_p^r \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is compact. □

As a corollary of Theorem 1.24, the following non-trivial property of special values of p-adic multiple L-functions at non-positive integer points is obtained.

Corollary 1.30. *The right-hand side of equation* (2.1) *of Theorem 2.1 (in the next section) is p-adically continuous with respect to c.*

We conclude this section with a speculation how to construct a p-adic analogue of the entire function $\zeta_r^{\mathrm{des}}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ which was constructed as a multiple analogue of the entire function $(1 - s)\zeta(s)$ in [11]. By Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2, below, our $L_{p,r}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; \omega^{n_1}, \ldots, \omega^{n_r}; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c)$ is a p-adic interpolation of (2.2).

By $\lim_{c\to 1} g_c(T) = 0$, we have, for $s_1, \ldots, s_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$\lim_{\substack{c\to 1\\ c\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}} L_{p,r}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; \omega^{k_1}, \ldots, \omega^{k_r}; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c) = 0.$$

On the other hand, by

$$\lim_{c\to 1} \frac{g_c(T)}{c-1} \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[T]] \setminus \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]],$$

we cannot say that the limit $\lim_{c\to 1} \frac{1}{c-1}\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c$ converges to a measure. Thus, we encounter the following:

Problem 1.31. For $s_1, \ldots, s_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, does

$$\lim_{\substack{c\to 1\\ c\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times\setminus\{1\}}} \frac{1}{(c-1)^r} L_{p,r}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; \omega^{k_1}, \ldots, \omega^{k_r}; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c) \tag{1.36}$$

converge?

If the limit (1.36) exists and happens to be a rigid analytic function, we may call it a p-adic analogue of the desingularized zeta function $\zeta_r^{\mathrm{des}}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$. We recall that the problem is affirmative when $r = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$. In fact, by (1.26), we have

$$\lim_{c\to 1} (c-1)^{-1} L_{p,1}(s; \omega^k; 1; c) = (1 - s) \cdot L_p(s; \omega^{k+1}).$$

We also note that the limit converges when $(s_1,\ldots,s_r)=(-n_1,\ldots,-n_r)$, for $n_1,\ldots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}_0$, by Theorem 2.7 in the next section.

2. SPECIAL VALUES OF p-ADIC MULTIPLE L-FUNCTIONS AT NON-POSITIVE INTEGERS

In this section, we consider the special values of our p-adic multiple L-functions (Definition 1.16) at non-positive integers, and shall express them in terms of twisted multiple Bernoulli numbers (Definition 1.4) in Theorems 2.1 and 2.7. We will see that our p-adic multiple L-function is a p-adic interpolation of a certain sum (2.2) of the entire complex multiple zeta-functions of generalized Euler-Zagier-Lerch type in Remark 2.2. Based on these evaluations, we generalize the well-known Kummer congruences for ordinary Bernoulli numbers to the multiple Kummer congruences for twisted multiple Bernoulli numbers in Theorem 2.10. We also show certain functional relations with a parity condition among p-adic multiple L-functions in Theorem 2.15. These functional relations may be seen as multiple generalizations of the vanishing property of the Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions with odd characters (cf. Proposition 1.13) in the single variable case, and of the functional relations for the p-adic double L-functions (shown in [18]) in the double variable case under the special condition $c=2$. Many examples will be investigated in this section.

2.1. Evaluation of p-adic multiple L-functions at non-positive integers.

Based on the consideration in the previous section, we determine the values of p-adic multiple L-functions at non-positive integers as follows:

Theorem 2.1. *For $n_1,\ldots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{Z}_p$, and $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{p,r}(-n_1,\ldots,-n_r;\omega^{n_1},\ldots,\omega^{n_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)\\
&=\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}}\mathfrak{B}((n_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))\\
&+\sum_{d=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{d}\sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r}\ \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1}\cdots\sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1}\ \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}}\mathfrak{B}((n_j);((\prod_{j\leqslant i_l}\rho_{i_l})^{\gamma_j}\xi_j);(\gamma_j)),
\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

where the empty product is interpreted as 1.

In Definition 1.4, the twisted multiple Bernoulli number $\mathfrak{B}((n_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))$ was defined for $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{C}$ and roots of unity $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r\in\mathbb{C}$. Here we define $\mathfrak{B}((n_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))$ for $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{Z}_p$ and roots of unity $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r\in\mathbb{C}_p$ by (1.8) and (1.9).

Remark 2.2. When $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{Z}_p\cap\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfy $\Re\gamma_j>0\quad(1\leqslant j\leqslant r)$, we obtain from the above theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{p,r}(-n_1,\ldots,-n_r;\omega^{n_1},\ldots,\omega^{n_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)&=(-1)^{r+n_1+\cdots+n_r}\Big\{\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}}\zeta_r((-n_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))\\
&+\sum_{d=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{d}\sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r}\ \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1}\cdots\sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1}\ \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}}\zeta_r((-n_j);((\prod_{j\leqslant i_l}\rho_{i_l})^{\gamma_j}\xi_j);(\gamma_j))\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

because

$$\zeta_r((-n_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j)) = (-1)^{r+n_1+\cdots+n_r}\mathfrak{B}((n_j);(\xi_j^{-1});(\gamma_j))$$

(see [11, Theorem 4.1]). Hence, the p-adic multiple L-function $L_{p,r}((s_j);(\omega^{k_1});(\gamma_j);c)$ is a p-adic interpolation of the following finite sum of multiple zeta-functions $\zeta_r((s_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))$ which are entire:

$$\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}}\zeta_r((s_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j)). \tag{2.2}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We see that for any $\rho\in\mu_p$ and $\xi\in\mu_c\setminus\{1\}$,

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\rho\xi}(j+p^N\mathbb{Z}_p)=\frac{(\rho\xi)^j}{1-(\rho\xi)^{p^N}}=\rho^j\mathfrak{m}_\xi(j+p^N\mathbb{Z}_p) \qquad (N\geqslant 1).$$

Hence, using

$$\sum_{\rho^p=1}\rho^n=\begin{cases}0 & (p\nmid n)\\ p & (p\mid n),\end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{p,r}(-n_1,\ldots,-n_r;\omega^{n_1},\ldots,\omega^{n_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}(x_1\gamma_1)^{n_1}\cdots(\sum_{j=1}^r x_j\gamma_j)^{n_r}\prod_{i=1}^r\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\rho_i^p=1}\rho_i^{\sum_{\nu=1}^i x_\nu\gamma_\nu}\right)\prod_{j=1}^r\sum_{\substack{\xi_j^c=1\\ \xi_j\neq 1}}d\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_j}(x_j)\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}(x_1\gamma_1)^{n_1}\cdots(\sum_{j=1}^r x_j\gamma_j)^{n_r}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}}\prod_{j=1}^r d\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_j}(x_j)\\
&\quad+\sum_{d=1}^r\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d\sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r}\sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1}\cdots\sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}}\\
&\qquad\times\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}\prod_{l=1}^r(\sum_{j=1}^l x_j\gamma_j)^{n_l}\prod_{l=1}^d\rho_{i_l}^{\sum_{\nu=1}^{i_l}x_\nu\gamma_\nu}\prod_{j=1}^r d\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_j}(x_j)\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}(x_1\gamma_1)^{n_1}\cdots(\sum_{j=1}^r x_j\gamma_j)^{n_r}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}}\prod_{j=1}^r d\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_j}(x_j)\\
&\quad+\sum_{d=1}^r\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d\sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r}\sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1}\cdots\sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}}\\
&\qquad\times\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}\prod_{l=1}^r(\sum_{j=1}^l x_j\gamma_j)^{n_l}\prod_{j=1}^r d\mathfrak{m}_{\{(\prod_{j\leqslant i_l}\rho_{i_l})^{\gamma_j}\xi_j\}}(x_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the desired identity has been shown by Proposition 1.15. □

Remark 2.3. We reiterate Corollary 1.30: The right-hand side of equation (2.1) is p-adically continuous, not only with respect to $n_1,\ldots,n_r$, but also with respect to c.

Considering the Galois action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we obtain the following result from Theorems 1.21 and 1.24.

Corollary 2.4. *For $n_1,\ldots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $c\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$,*

$$L_{p,r}(-n_1,\ldots,-n_r;\omega^{n_1},\ldots,\omega^{n_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)\in\mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Hence, the right-hand side of (2.1) *is in* $\mathbb{Z}_p$*, though it includes the terms like* $\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d$*. In particular, when* $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} := \{\frac{a}{b}\in\mathbb{Q} \mid a,b\in\mathbb{Z},\ (b,p)=1\} = \mathbb{Z}_p\cap\mathbb{Q}$*, then*

$$L_{p,r}(-n_1,\ldots,-n_r;\omega^{n_1},\ldots,\omega^{n_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)\in\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}.$$

The following examples are special cases ($r=1$ and $r=2$) of Theorem 2.1.

Example 2.5. For $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$,

$$L_{p,1}(-n;\omega^n;1;c) = (1-p^n)\sum_{\substack{\xi^c=1\\ \xi\neq 1}}\mathfrak{B}_n(\xi), \tag{2.3}$$

which recovers the known fact (see [25, Theorem 5.11])

$$L_p(-n;\omega^{n+1}) = -(1-p^n)\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1},$$

thanks to (1.7) and (1.21).

Example 2.6. For $n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\mathbb{Z}_p$, and $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{p,2}(-n_1,-n_2;\omega^{n_1},\omega^{n_2};\gamma_1,\gamma_2;c)\\
&\quad=\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\sum_{\substack{\xi_2^c=1\\ \xi_2\neq 1}}\mathfrak{B}(n_1,n_2;\xi_1,\xi_2;\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\\
&\quad\quad-\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\rho_1^p=1}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\sum_{\substack{\xi_2^c=1\\ \xi_2\neq 1}}\mathfrak{B}(n_1,n_2;\rho_1^{\gamma_1}\xi_1,\xi_2;\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\\
&\quad\quad-\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\rho_2^p=1}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\sum_{\substack{\xi_2^c=1\\ \xi_2\neq 1}}\mathfrak{B}(n_1,n_2;\rho_2^{\gamma_1}\xi_1,\rho_2^{\gamma_2}\xi_2;\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\\
&\quad\quad+\frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{\rho_1^p=1}\sum_{\rho_2^p=1}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\sum_{\substack{\xi_2^c=1\\ \xi_2\neq 1}}\mathfrak{B}(n_1,n_2;(\rho_1\rho_2)^{\gamma_1}\xi_1,\rho_2^{\gamma_2}\xi_2;\gamma_1,\gamma_2).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

More generally, we consider the generating function of $\{L_{p,r}((-n_j);(\omega^{n_j});(\gamma_j);c)\}$, that is,

$$F_{p,r}(t_1,\ldots,t_r;(\gamma_j);c) = \sum_{n_1=0}^\infty\cdots\sum_{n_r=0}^\infty L_{p,r}((-n_j);(\omega^{n_j});(\gamma_j);c)\prod_{j=1}^r\frac{t_j^{n_j}}{n_j!}.$$

Then we have the following:

Theorem 2.7. *Let* $c\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$*. For* $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{Z}_p$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_{p,r}(t_1,\ldots,t_r;(\gamma_j);c)\\
&=\prod_{j=1}^r\left(\frac{1}{\exp\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^r t_k\right)-1}-\frac{c}{\exp\left(c\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^r t_k\right)-1}\right)\\
&+\sum_{d=1}^r\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d\sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r}\sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1}\cdots\sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1}\\
&\quad\times\prod_{j=1}^r\left(\frac{1}{(\prod_{j\leqslant i_l}\rho_{i_l})^{\gamma_j}\exp\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^r t_k\right)-1}-\frac{c}{(\prod_{j\leqslant i_l}\rho_{i_l})^{c\gamma_j}\exp\left(c\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^r t_k\right)-1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(\sum_{m_j=0}^{\infty}\left(1-c^{m_j+1}\right)\frac{B_{m_j+1}}{m_j+1}\frac{\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)^{m_j}}{m_j!}\right)\\
&\quad+(-1)^r\sum_{d=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d\sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r}\ \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1}\cdots\sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1}\\
&\qquad\times\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(\sum_{m_j=0}^{\infty}(1-c^{m_j+1})\mathfrak{B}_{m_j}\Big(\big(\prod_{j\leqslant i_l}\rho_{i_l}\big)^{\gamma_j}\Big)\frac{\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)^{m_j}}{m_j!}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, when $\gamma_1\in\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ *and* $\gamma_j\in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ $(2\leqslant j\leqslant r)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{p,r}(t_1,\ldots,t_r;(\gamma_j);c)&=\left(\frac{1}{\exp\left(\gamma_1\sum_{k=1}^{r}t_k\right)-1}-\frac{c}{\exp\left(c\gamma_1\sum_{k=1}^{r}t_k\right)-1}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{1}{\exp\left(p\gamma_1\sum_{k=1}^{r}t_k\right)-1}+\frac{c}{\exp\left(cp\gamma_1\sum_{k=1}^{r}t_k\right)-1}\right)\\
&\quad\times\prod_{j=2}^{r}\left(\frac{1}{\exp\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)-1}-\frac{c}{\exp\left(c\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)-1}\right)\\
&=\left(\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty}\left(1-p^{n_1}\right)\left(1-c^{n_1+1}\right)\frac{B_{n_1+1}}{n_1+1}\frac{\left(\gamma_1\sum_{k=1}^{r}t_k\right)^{n_1}}{n_1!}\right)\\
&\quad\times\prod_{j=2}^{r}\left(\sum_{n_j=0}^{\infty}\left(1-c^{n_j+1}\right)\frac{B_{n_j+1}}{n_j+1}\frac{\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)^{n_j}}{n_j!}\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.5}
$$

We stress here that Theorem 2.1 holds for $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$; in contrast, Theorem 2.7 holds, more generally, for $c\in\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$.

Proof. For the moment, assume that $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$. Combining (1.9) and (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{p,r}((t_j);(\gamma_j);c)&=\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq1}}\prod_{j=1}^{r}\frac{1}{1-\xi_j\exp\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)}\\
&\quad+\sum_{d=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d\sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r}\ \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1}\cdots\sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1}\ \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq1}}\\
&\quad\times\prod_{j=1}^{r}\frac{1}{1-\left(\prod_{j\leqslant i_l}\rho_{i_l}\right)^{\gamma_j}\xi_j\exp\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)}\\
&=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\sum_{\substack{\xi_j^c=1\\ \xi_j\neq1}}\frac{1}{1-\xi_j\exp\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)}\\
&\quad+\sum_{d=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d\sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r}\ \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1}\cdots\sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1}\\
&\quad\times\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(\sum_{\substack{\xi_j^c=1\\ \xi_j\neq1}}\frac{1}{1-\left(\prod_{j\leqslant i_l}\rho_{i_l}\right)^{\gamma_j}\xi_j\exp\left(\gamma_j\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k\right)}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (1.6), we obtain the first assertion. Next, we assume that $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $\gamma_j \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ $(2 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. Then

$$(\prod_{j \leqslant i_l} \rho_{i_l})^{\gamma_j} = 1 \quad (2 \leqslant j \leqslant r),$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{p,r}((t_j);(\gamma_j);c) = & \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left(\frac{1}{\exp\left(\gamma_j \sum_{k=j}^{r} t_k\right) - 1} - \frac{c}{\exp\left(c\gamma_j \sum_{k=j}^{r} t_k\right) - 1} \right) \\ & + \sum_{d=1}^{r} \left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_d \leqslant r} \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p = 1} \cdots \sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p = 1} \\ & \times \left(\frac{1}{(\prod_{i_l} \rho_{i_l}) \exp\left(\gamma_1 \sum_{k=1}^{r} t_k\right) - 1} - \frac{c}{(\prod_{i_l} \rho_{i_l})^c \exp\left(c\gamma_1 \sum_{k=1}^{r} t_k\right) - 1} \right) \\ & \times \prod_{j=2}^{r} \left(\frac{1}{\exp\left(\gamma_j \sum_{k=j}^{r} t_k\right) - 1} - \frac{c}{\exp\left(c\gamma_j \sum_{k=j}^{r} t_k\right) - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.6), we can rewrite the second term on the right-hand side as

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j=2}^{r} \left(\frac{1}{\exp\left(\gamma_j \sum_{k=j}^{r} t_k\right) - 1} - \frac{c}{\exp\left(c\gamma_j \sum_{k=j}^{r} t_k\right) - 1} \right) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{d=1}^{r} \left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_d \leqslant r} p^d \left(\frac{1}{\exp\left(p\gamma_1 \sum_{k=1}^{r} t_k\right) - 1} - \frac{c}{\exp\left(cp\gamma_1 \sum_{k=1}^{r} t_k\right) - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Noting

$$\sum_{d=1}^{r} \left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_d \leqslant r} p^d = \sum_{d=1}^{r} \binom{r}{d} (-1)^d = (1-1)^r - 1 = -1,$$

we obtain the second assertion.

In summary, we have proven the formulas in the theorem for $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$. It should be noted that each coefficient of the left-hand side of each formula is expressed as a polynomial in c. On the other hand, each coefficient of the right-hand side of each formula is continuous in c, by Theorem 1.24. Therefore, these identities can be extended to $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. ☐

We consider the case $r=2$, with $(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)=(1,\eta)$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$. From (1.10) and Example 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{p,2}(-k_1,-k_2;\omega^{k_1},\omega^{k_2};1,\eta;c) \\ & = \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1 \\ \xi_1 \neq 1}} \sum_{\substack{\xi_2^c=1 \\ \xi_2 \neq 1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k_2} \binom{k_2}{\nu} \mathfrak{B}_{k_1+\nu}(\xi_1) \mathfrak{B}_{k_2-\nu}(\xi_2) \eta^{k_2-\nu} \\ & - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\rho_1^p=1} \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1 \\ \xi_1 \neq 1}} \sum_{\substack{\xi_2^c=1 \\ \xi_2 \neq 1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k_2} \binom{k_2}{\nu} \mathfrak{B}_{k_1+\nu}(\rho_1\xi_1) \mathfrak{B}_{k_2-\nu}(\xi_2) \eta^{k_2-\nu} \\ & - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\rho_2^p=1} \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1 \\ \xi_1 \neq 1}} \sum_{\substack{\xi_2^c=1 \\ \xi_2 \neq 1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k_2} \binom{k_2}{\nu} \mathfrak{B}_{k_1+\nu}(\rho_2\xi_1) \mathfrak{B}_{k_2-\nu}(\rho_2^\eta \xi_2) \eta^{k_2-\nu} \end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{\rho_1^p=1}\sum_{\rho_2^p=1}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\sum_{\substack{\xi_2^c=1\\ \xi_2\neq 1}}\sum_{\nu=0}^{k_2}\binom{k_2}{\nu}\mathfrak{B}_{k_1+\nu}(\rho_1\rho_2\xi_1)\mathfrak{B}_{k_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{\eta}\xi_2)\eta^{k_2-\nu}$$

for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By the argument similar to (1.7), we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\xi^c=1\\ \xi\neq 1}}\mathfrak{B}_n(\alpha\xi)=\begin{cases}\left(1-c^{n+1}\right)\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} & (\alpha=1)\\ c^{n+1}\mathfrak{B}_n(\alpha^c)-\mathfrak{B}_n(\alpha) & (\alpha\neq 1)\end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In addition, using (1.6) with $k = p$, we have

$$\sum_{\rho^p=1}\sum_{\substack{\xi^c=1\\ \xi\neq 1}}\mathfrak{B}_n(\rho\xi)=\sum_{\rho^p=1}\left(c^{n+1}\mathfrak{B}_n(\rho^c)-\mathfrak{B}_n(\rho)\right)=p^{n+1}\left(1-c^{n+1}\right)\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \tag{2.7}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence, by continuity with respect to c, we have the following.

Example 2.8. For $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&L_{p,2}(-k_1,-k_2;\omega^{k_1},\omega^{k_2};1,\eta;c)\\ &=\sum_{\nu=0}^{k_2}\binom{k_2}{\nu}\left(1-p^{k_1+\nu}\right)\left(1-c^{k_1+\nu+1}\right)\frac{B_{k_1+\nu+1}}{k_1+\nu+1}\left(1-c^{k_2-\nu+1}\right)\frac{B_{k_2-\nu+1}}{k_2-\nu+1}\eta^{k_2-\nu}\\ &-\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\rho_2^p=1}\sum_{\nu=0}^{k_2}\binom{k_2}{\nu}\left(c^{k_1+\nu+1}\mathfrak{B}_{k_1+\nu}(\rho_2^c)-\mathfrak{B}_{k_1+\nu}(\rho_2)\right)\left(c^{k_2-\nu+1}\mathfrak{B}_{k_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{c\eta})-\mathfrak{B}_{k_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{\eta})\right)\eta^{k_2-\nu}\\ &+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\rho_2^p=1}\sum_{\nu=0}^{k_2}\binom{k_2}{\nu}p^{k_1+\nu}\left(1-c^{k_1+\nu+1}\right)\frac{B_{k_1+\nu+1}}{k_1+\nu+1}\left(c^{k_2-\nu+1}\mathfrak{B}_{k_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{c\eta})-\mathfrak{B}_{k_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{\eta})\right)\eta^{k_2-\nu}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

In particular, when $\eta \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, then by (1.4) and (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned}&L_{p,2}(-k_1,-k_2;\omega^{k_1},\omega^{k_2};1,\eta;c)\\ &=\sum_{\nu=0}^{k_2}\binom{k_2}{\nu}\left(1-p^{k_1+\nu}\right)\left(1-c^{k_1+\nu+1}\right)\left(1-c^{k_2-\nu+1}\right)\frac{B_{k_1+\nu+1}B_{k_2-\nu+1}}{(k_1+\nu+1)(k_2-\nu+1)}\eta^{k_2-\nu}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Note that the case $c = 2$ was obtained in our previous paper [18, Section 4]. In the special case when $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k_1 + k_2$ is odd,

$$L_{p,2}(-k_1,-k_2;\omega^{k_1},\omega^{k_2};1,\eta;c)=\frac{c-1}{2}\left(1-c^{k_1+k_2+1}\right)\left(1-p^{k_1+k_2}\right)\frac{B_{k_1+k_2+1}}{k_1+k_2+1}. \tag{2.10}$$

Furthermore, computing the coefficient of $t_1^{n_1}t_2^{n_2}t_3^{n_3}$ in (2.5) with $r = 3$, we obtain the following:

Example 2.9. For $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&L_{p,3}(-k_1,-k_2,-k_3;\omega^{k_1},\omega^{k_2},\omega^{k_3};1,\eta_1,\eta_2;c)\\ &=\sum_{\nu_1=0}^{k_3}\sum_{\nu_2=0}^{k_3-\nu_1}\sum_{\kappa=0}^{k_2}\binom{k_2}{\kappa}\binom{k_3}{\nu_1,\,\nu_2}\left(1-p^{k_1+\nu_2+\kappa}\right)\left(1-c^{k_1+\nu_2+\kappa+1}\right)\left(1-c^{k_2+\nu_1-\kappa+1}\right)\\ &\quad\times\left(1-c^{k_3-\nu_1-\nu_2+1}\right)\frac{B_{k_1+\nu_2+\kappa+1}}{k_1+\nu_2+\kappa+1}\frac{B_{k_2+\nu_1-\kappa+1}}{k_2+\nu_1-\kappa+1}\frac{B_{k_3-\nu_1-\nu_2+1}}{k_3-\nu_1-\nu_2+1}\\ &\quad\times\eta_1^{k_2+\nu_1-\kappa}\eta_2^{k_3-\nu_1-\nu_2},\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $\binom{N}{\nu_1,\,\nu_2}=\frac{N!}{\nu_1!\ \nu_2!\ (N-\nu_1-\nu_2)!}$.

2.2. **Multiple Kummer congruences.** We will show certain congruence relations for the twisted multiple Bernoulli numbers, which are certain generalizations of Kummer congruences for Bernoulli numbers (see (2.14), below), from the viewpoint of p-adic multiple L-functions (Theorem 2.10). Then we will extract specific congruences for ordinary Bernoulli numbers in the depth 2 case (Example 2.13).

First, we recall the ordinary Kummer congruences. By (1.26), we know from [17, p. 31] that

$$L_{p,1}(1-m;\omega^{m-1};1;c) \equiv L_{p,1}(1-n;\omega^{n-1};1;c) \pmod{p^l} \tag{2.12}$$

for $m,n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \equiv n \pmod{(p-1)p^{l-1}}$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$. Therefore, from (2.3), we see that

$$(1-c^m)(1-p^{m-1})\frac{B_m}{m} \equiv (1-c^n)(1-p^{n-1})\frac{B_n}{n} \pmod{p^l}. \tag{2.13}$$

Note that $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ can be chosen arbitrarily under the condition $(c,p)=1$. In particular, when $p>2$, for even positive integers m and n such that $m \equiv n \pmod{(p-1)p^{l-1}}$ and $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$, we can choose c so that $1-c^m \equiv 1-c^n \pmod{p^l}$ and $(1-c^m,p)=(1-c^n,p)=1$, which yields the ordinary Kummer congruences (see [17, p. 32]):

$$(1-p^{m-1})\frac{B_m}{m} \equiv (1-p^{n-1})\frac{B_n}{n} \pmod{p^l}. \tag{2.14}$$

As a multiple analogue of (2.13), we have the following:

Theorem 2.10 (Multiple Kummer congruences). *Let* $m_1,\ldots,m_r,n_1,\ldots,n_r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *with* $m_j \equiv n_j$ mod $(p-1)p^{l_j-1}$ *for* $l_j \in \mathbb{N}$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. *Then, for* $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ *and* $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ *with* $(c,p)=1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{p,r}(-m_1,\ldots,-m_r;\omega^{m_1},\ldots,\omega^{m_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)\\ &\equiv L_{p,r}(-n_1,\ldots,-n_r;\omega^{n_1},\ldots,\omega^{n_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c) \quad \left(\mathrm{mod}\ p^{\min\{l_j \mid 1\leqslant j\leqslant r\}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}} \mathfrak{B}((m_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))\\ &+\sum_{d=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d \sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r} \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1} \cdots \sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1} \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}} \mathfrak{B}((m_j);((\prod_{j\leqslant i_l}\rho_{i_l})^{\gamma_j}\xi_j);(\gamma_j))\\ &\equiv \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}} \mathfrak{B}((n_j);(\xi_j);(\gamma_j))\\ &\quad +\sum_{d=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d \sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r} \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1} \cdots \sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1} \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}} \mathfrak{B}((n_j);((\prod_{j\leqslant i_l}\rho_{i_l})^{\gamma_j}\xi_j);(\gamma_j))\\ &\qquad \left(\mathrm{mod}\ p^{\min\{l_j \mid 1\leqslant j\leqslant r\}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. The proof works in the same way as the case of $L_p(s;\chi)$ stated above (for the details, see [17, Chapter 2, Section 3]). As for the integrand of (1.33), we have

$$\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{j} x_\nu\gamma_\nu\right)^{m_j} \equiv \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{j} x_\nu\gamma_\nu\right)^{n_j} \pmod{p^{l_j}} \quad (1 \leqslant j \leqslant r) \tag{2.17}$$

for $(x_j) \in \left(\mathbb{Z}_p^r\right)'_{\{\gamma_j\}}$. Hence, (2.17) implies (2.15). It follows from Theorem 2.1 that (2.16) holds. □

Remark 2.11. In the case $p=2$, since

$$\left(\mathbb{Z}/2^l\mathbb{Z}\right)^\times \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/2^{l-2}\mathbb{Z} \quad (l\in\mathbb{N}_{>2}),$$

(2.17) holds under the condition

$$m_j\equiv n_j \bmod 2^{l_j-2} \ \ (l_j\in\mathbb{N}_{>2};\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant r), \tag{2.18}$$

and so does (2.15) under (2.18). Hence the following examples also hold under (2.18) in the case $p=2$.

In the case $r=1$, Theorem 2.10 is nothing but (2.12), a reformulation of (2.13).

In the case $r=2$, we obtain the following:

Example 2.12. For $m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{N}_0$ with $m_j\equiv n_j \bmod (p-1)p^{l_j-1}$ $(l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{N})$, $\eta\in\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $c\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\nu=0}^{m_2}\binom{m_2}{\nu}\left(1-p^{m_1+\nu}\right)\left(1-c^{m_1+\nu+1}\right)\frac{B_{m_1+\nu+1}}{m_1+\nu+1}\left(1-c^{m_2-\nu+1}\right)\frac{B_{m_2-\nu+1}}{m_2-\nu+1}\eta^{m_2-\nu}\\
&-\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\rho_2^p=1}\sum_{\nu=0}^{m_2}\binom{m_2}{\nu}\left(c^{m_1+\nu+1}\mathfrak{B}_{m_1+\nu}(\rho_2^c)-\mathfrak{B}_{m_1+\nu}(\rho_2)\right)\left(c^{m_2-\nu+1}\mathfrak{B}_{m_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{c\eta})-\mathfrak{B}_{m_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{\eta})\right)\eta^{m_2-\nu}\\
&+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\rho_2^p=1}\sum_{\nu=0}^{m_2}\binom{m_2}{\nu}p^{m_1+\nu}\left(1-c^{m_1+\nu+1}\right)\frac{B_{m_1+\nu+1}}{m_1+\nu+1}\left(c^{m_2-\nu+1}\mathfrak{B}_{m_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{c\eta})-\mathfrak{B}_{m_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{\eta})\right)\eta^{m_2-\nu}\\
\equiv&\sum_{\nu=0}^{n_2}\binom{n_2}{\nu}\left(1-p^{n_1+\nu}\right)\left(1-c^{n_1+\nu+1}\right)\frac{B_{n_1+\nu+1}}{n_1+\nu+1}\left(1-c^{n_2-\nu+1}\right)\frac{B_{n_2-\nu+1}}{n_2-\nu+1}\eta^{n_2-\nu}\\
&-\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\rho_2^p=1}\sum_{\nu=0}^{n_2}\binom{n_2}{\nu}\left(c^{n_1+\nu+1}\mathfrak{B}_{n_1+\nu}(\rho_2^c)-\mathfrak{B}_{n_1+\nu}(\rho_2)\right)\left(c^{n_2-\nu+1}\mathfrak{B}_{n_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{c\eta})-\mathfrak{B}_{n_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{\eta})\right)\eta^{n_2-\nu}\\
&+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\rho_2^p=1}\sum_{\nu=0}^{n_2}\binom{n_2}{\nu}p^{n_1+\nu}\left(1-c^{n_1+\nu+1}\right)\frac{B_{n_1+\nu+1}}{n_1+\nu+1}\left(c^{n_2-\nu+1}\mathfrak{B}_{n_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{c\eta})-\mathfrak{B}_{n_2-\nu}(\rho_2^{\eta})\right)\eta^{n_2-\nu}\\
&\qquad\left(\bmod p^{\min\{l_1,l_2\}}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

which follows by setting $(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)=(1,\eta)$ for $\eta\in\mathbb{Z}_p$ in (2.15) and using Example 2.8.

Next we consider certain congruences among ordinary Bernoulli numbers:

Example 2.13. We set $\eta=p$ and $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$ in (2.19). Note that this case was already calculated in (2.9) with $\eta=p$. Hence, we similarly obtain the following **double Kummer congruence** for ordinary Bernoulli numbers:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\nu=0}^{m_2}\binom{m_2}{\nu}\left(1-p^{m_1+\nu}\right)\left(1-c^{m_1+\nu+1}\right)\left(1-c^{m_2-\nu+1}\right)\frac{B_{m_1+\nu+1}B_{m_2-\nu+1}}{(m_1+\nu+1)(m_2-\nu+1)}p^{m_2-\nu}\\
\equiv&\sum_{\nu=0}^{n_2}\binom{n_2}{\nu}\left(1-p^{n_1+\nu}\right)\left(1-c^{n_1+\nu+1}\right)\left(1-c^{n_2-\nu+1}\right)\frac{B_{n_1+\nu+1}B_{n_2-\nu+1}}{(n_1+\nu+1)(n_2-\nu+1)}p^{n_2-\nu}\\
&\quad\left(\bmod p^{\min\{l_1,l_2\}}\right)
\end{aligned}\tag{2.20}$$

for $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $m_j \equiv n_j \bmod (p-1)p^{l_j-1}$ ($l_j \in \mathbb{N}$; $j = 1, 2$). In particular, when m_1 and m_2 are of different parity, we have from (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{c-1}{2}\left(1-c^{m_1+m_2+1}\right)\left(1-p^{m_1+m_2}\right)\frac{B_{m_1+m_2+1}}{m_1+m_2+1}\\ &\equiv \frac{c-1}{2}\left(1-c^{n_1+n_2+1}\right)\left(1-p^{n_1+n_2}\right)\frac{B_{n_1+n_2+1}}{n_1+n_2+1} \quad \left(\bmod p^{\min\{l_1,l_2\}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

which is equivalent to (2.13) in the case $(c, p) = 1$ with $p^2 \nmid (c-1)$. Therefore, choosing c suitably, we obtain the ordinary Kummer congruence (2.14). From this observation, we can regard (2.20) as a certain general form of Kummer congruence including (2.14). We do not know whether our (2.20) is a new congruence for Bernoulli numbers, or whether it follows from the ordinary Kummer congruence (2.13).

In the case $r = 3$, we obtain the following:

Example 2.14. Using (2.11) with $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (1, p, p)$, we can similarly obtain the following **triple Kummer congruence** for ordinary Bernoulli numbers:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\nu_1=0}^{m_3}\sum_{\nu_2=0}^{m_3-\nu_1}\sum_{\kappa=0}^{m_2}\binom{m_2}{\kappa}\binom{m_3}{\nu_1\ \nu_2}\left(1-p^{m_1+\nu_2+\kappa}\right)\left(1-c^{m_1+\nu_2+\kappa+1}\right)\left(1-c^{m_2+\nu_1-\kappa+1}\right)\\ &\quad\times\left(1-c^{m_3-\nu_1-\nu_2+1}\right)\frac{B_{m_1+\nu_2+\kappa+1}}{m_1+\nu_2+\kappa+1}\frac{B_{m_2+\nu_1-\kappa+1}}{m_2+\nu_1-\kappa+1}\frac{B_{m_3-\nu_1-\nu_2+1}}{m_3-\nu_1-\nu_2+1}p^{m_2+m_3-\kappa-\nu_2}\\ &\equiv\sum_{\nu_1=0}^{n_3}\sum_{\nu_2=0}^{n_3-\nu_1}\sum_{\kappa=0}^{n_2}\binom{n_2}{\kappa}\binom{n_3}{\nu_1\ \nu_2}\left(1-p^{n_1+\nu_2+\kappa}\right)\left(1-c^{n_1+\nu_2+\kappa+1}\right)\left(1-c^{n_2+\nu_1-\kappa+1}\right)\\ &\quad\times\left(1-c^{n_3-\nu_1-\nu_2+1}\right)\frac{B_{n_1+\nu_2+\kappa+1}}{n_1+\nu_2+\kappa+1}\frac{B_{n_2+\nu_1-\kappa+1}}{n_2+\nu_1-\kappa+1}\frac{B_{n_3-\nu_1-\nu_2+1}}{n_3-\nu_1-\nu_2+1}p^{n_2+n_3-\kappa-\nu_2}\\ &\quad\left(\bmod p^{\min\{l_1,l_2,l_3\}}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

for $m_1, m_2, m_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $m_j \equiv n_j \bmod (p-1)p^{l_j-1}$ ($l_j \in \mathbb{N}$; $j = 1, 2, 3$). It is also unclear whether this is new or follows from (2.13).

2.3. Functional relations for p-adic multiple L-functions.

In this subsection, we will prove certain functional relations with a parity condition among p-adic multiple L-functions (Theorem 2.15). They are extensions of the vanishing property of the Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions with odd characters in the single variable case (cf. Proposition 1.13 and Example 2.19), as well as the functional relations among p-adic double L-functions proved by [18] in the double variable case under the condition $c = 2$ (cf. Example 2.20). They may also be regarded as certain p-adic analogues of the parity result for MZVs (see Remark 2.18). It should be noted that the following functional relations are peculiar to the p-adic case, which are derived from the relation (2.31), below, among Bernoulli numbers, and cannot be observed in the complex case.

Let $r \geqslant 2$. For each $J \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$ with $1 \in J$, we write

$$J = \{j_1(J), j_2(J), \ldots, j_{|J|}(J)\} \quad (1 = j_1(J) < \cdots < j_{|J|}(J)),$$

where $|J|$ implies the number of elements of J. In addition, we formally let $j_{|J|+1}(J) = r + 1$.

Theorem 2.15. *For $r \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$, let $k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k_1 + \cdots + k_r \not\equiv r \pmod 2$, $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $\gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_r \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Then, for $s_1, \ldots, s_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{p,r}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; \omega^{k_1}, \ldots, \omega^{k_r}; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_r; c) \\ &\quad = - \sum_{\substack{J \subsetneq \{1,\ldots,r\} \\ 1 \in J}} \Big(\frac{1-c}{2}\Big)^{r-|J|} L_{p,|J|}\Big(\Big(\sum\nolimits_{\mu} s_l\Big)_{\mu=1}^{|J|}; \big(\omega^{\sum_{\mu} k_l}\big)_{\mu=1}^{|J|}; (\gamma_j)_{j\in J}; c\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

where the symbol $\sum_{\mu}$ means the sum over $j_\mu(J) \leqslant l < j_{\mu+1}(J)$.

To prove Theorem 2.15, we first define certain multiple analogues of Bernoulli numbers, and discuss their properties.

We consider $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1(t; \gamma; c)$ in $\mathbb{C}_p$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1(t; \gamma; c) &= \frac{1}{e^{\gamma t} - 1} - \frac{c}{e^{c\gamma t} - 1} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - c^{m+1}\right) B_{m+1} \frac{(\gamma t)^m}{(m+1)!} \\ &= \frac{c-1}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(c^{m+1} - 1\right) \zeta(-m) \frac{(\gamma t)^m}{m!} \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

for $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. For simplicity, we set

$$\mathcal{B}(n; \gamma; c) := \left(1 - c^{n+1}\right) \frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \gamma^n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{2.25}$$

It follows from (1.19) and (1.20) that

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1(t; \gamma; c) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^m d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x) \frac{(\gamma t)^m}{m!} = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} e^{x\gamma t} d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x), \tag{2.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1(t; \gamma; c) : &= \widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1(t; \gamma; c) - \frac{c-1}{2} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}(m; \gamma; c) \frac{t^m}{m!} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (e^{x\gamma t} - 1) d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

We know that $B_{2m+1} = 0$, namely $\mathcal{B}(2m; \gamma; c) = 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we have

$$\mathcal{H}_1(-t; \gamma; c) = -\mathcal{H}_1(t; \gamma; c). \tag{2.28}$$

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_r(t_1, \ldots, t_r; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c) &:= \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathcal{H}_1\Big(\sum_{k=j}^{r} t_k; \gamma_j; c\Big) \\ &\left(= \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left\{ \widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1\Big(\sum_{k=j}^{r} t_k; \gamma_j; c\Big) - \frac{c-1}{2} \right\}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and define the multiple analogues of Bernoulli numbers $\mathcal{B}(n_1, \ldots, n_r; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c)$ by the Taylor expansion

$$\mathcal{H}_r(t_1, \ldots, t_r; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c) = \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{n_r=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}(n_1, \ldots, n_r; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c) \frac{t_1^{n_1}}{n_1!} \cdots \frac{t_r^{n_r}}{n_r!}. \tag{2.29}$$

By (2.28), we have

$$\mathcal{H}_r(-t_1, \ldots, -t_r; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c) = (-1)^r \mathcal{H}_r(t_1, \ldots, t_r; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r; c). \tag{2.30}$$

Hence, when $n_1+\cdots+n_r \not\equiv r \pmod 2$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}(n_1,\ldots,n_r;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)=0. \tag{2.31}$$

Remark 2.16. From (1.11), we have

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_r((t_j);(\gamma_j);c)=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1\Bigl(\sum_{k=j}^{r}t_k;\gamma_j;c\Bigr). \tag{2.32}$$

Comparing (2.29) with (2.32), we see that $\mathcal{H}_r((t_j);(\gamma_j);c)$ is defined as a slight modification of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_r((t_j);(\gamma_j);c)$, and it can be verified that a formula of the same form as (2.30) holds for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_r((t_j);(\gamma_j);c)$, as well.

It follows from (2.25), (2.27) and (2.29) that $\mathcal{B}(n_1,\ldots,n_r;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)$ can be expressed as a polynomial in $\{B_n\}_{n\geqslant 1}$ and $\{\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\}$ with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients.

Lemma 2.17. *For $n_1,\ldots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $c\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$,*

$$\mathcal{B}(n_1,\ldots,n_r;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)=\sum_{\substack{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}\\ 1\in J}}\Bigl(\frac{1-c}{2}\Bigr)^{r-|J|}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{|J|}}\prod_{l=1}^{r}(\sum_{\substack{j\in J\\ j\leqslant l}}x_j\gamma_j)^{n_l}\prod_{j\in J}d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j), \tag{2.33}$$

where the empty product is interpreted as 1.

Proof. From (2.27) and (2.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}_r(t_1,\ldots,t_r;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)\\
&\quad=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}\prod_{j=1}^{r}(e^{x_j\gamma_j(\sum_{l=j}^{r}t_l)}-1)\prod_{j=1}^{r}d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)\\
&\quad=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}\sum_{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}}(-1)^{r-|J|}\exp\Bigl(\sum_{j\in J}x_j\gamma_j(\sum_{l=j}^{r}t_l)\Bigr)\prod_{j=1}^{r}d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)\\
&\quad=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}\sum_{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}}(-1)^{r-|J|}\exp\Bigl(\sum_{l=1}^{r}t_l(\sum_{\substack{j\in J\\ j\leqslant l}}x_j\gamma_j)\Bigr)\prod_{j=1}^{r}d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)\\
&\quad=\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{n_r=0}^{\infty}\sum_{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}}(-1)^{r-|J|}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}\prod_{l=1}^{r}\frac{\Bigl(t_l(\sum_{\substack{j\in J\\ j\leqslant l}}x_j\gamma_j)\Bigr)^{n_l}}{n_l!}\prod_{j=1}^{r}d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)\\
&\quad=\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{n_r=0}^{\infty}\sum_{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}}(-1)^{r-|J|}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}\prod_{l=1}^{r}(\sum_{\substack{j\in J\\ j\leqslant l}}x_j\gamma_j)^{n_l}\prod_{j=1}^{r}d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)\frac{t_1^{n_1}}{n_1!}\cdots\frac{t_r^{n_r}}{n_r!}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we consider each coefficient of $\frac{t_1^{n_1}}{n_1!}\cdots\frac{t_r^{n_r}}{n_r!}$ for $n_1,\ldots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}$. If $1\notin J$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{j\in J\\ j\leqslant 1}}x_j\gamma_j$$

is an empty sum, which implies 0. Hence, we obtain from (1.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{B}(n_1,\ldots,n_r;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)\\
&\quad=\sum_{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}}(-1)^{r-|J|}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}\prod_{l=1}^{r}(\sum_{\substack{j\in J\\ j\leqslant l}}x_j\gamma_j)^{n_l}\prod_{j=1}^{r}d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}\\ 1\in J}} (-1)^{r-|J|}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^r}\prod_{l=1}^{r}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in J\\ j\leqslant l}} x_j\gamma_j\Big)^{n_l}\prod_{j=1}^{r} d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}\\ 1\in J}} \Big(\frac{1-c}{2}\Big)^{r-|J|}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{|J|}}\prod_{l=1}^{r}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in J\\ j\leqslant l}} x_j\gamma_j\Big)^{n_l}\prod_{j\in J} d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)$$

for $n_1,\ldots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}$, and this completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2.15. If $\gamma_1\in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, then it follows from Remark 1.17 that all functions on both sides of (2.23) are zero-functions. Hence, (2.23) holds trivially, so we only consider the case $\gamma_1\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_r\in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ in (2.33).

First, we consider the case $\gamma_1=1$. Setting $\gamma_1=1$ and $\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_r\in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ in (2.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{B}(n_1,\ldots,n_r;\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)\\ &\quad= \sum_{\substack{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}\\ 1\in J}} \Big(\frac{1-c}{2}\Big)^{r-|J|}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{|J|}}\prod_{l=1}^{r}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in J\\ j\leqslant l}} x_j\gamma_j\Big)^{n_l}\prod_{j\in J} d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)\end{aligned}$$

for $n_1,\ldots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}$. From the condition $\gamma_1=1$ and $\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_r\in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, we see that

$$(\mathbb{Z}_p^{|J|})'_{\{\gamma_j\}_{j\in J}}=\mathbb{Z}_p^\times\times\mathbb{Z}_p^{|J|-1}=\mathbb{Z}_p^{|J|}\setminus\Big(p\mathbb{Z}_p\times\mathbb{Z}_p^{|J|-1}\Big)$$

for any $J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}$ with $1\in J$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}\\ 1\in J}} \Big(\frac{1-c}{2}\Big)^{r-|J|}L_{p,|J|}\Big(\Big(-\sum\nolimits_{\mu} n_l\Big)_{\mu=1}^{|J|};(\omega^{\sum_\mu n_l})_{\mu=1}^{|J|};(\gamma_j)_{j\in J};c\Big)\\
&= \sum_{\substack{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}\\ 1\in J}} \Big(\frac{1-c}{2}\Big)^{r-|J|}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times\times\mathbb{Z}_p^{|J|-1}}\prod_{l=1}^{r}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in J\\ j\leqslant l}} x_j\gamma_j\Big)^{n_l}\prod_{j\in J} d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)\\
&= \sum_{\substack{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}\\ 1\in J}} \Big(\frac{1-c}{2}\Big)^{r-|J|}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{|J|}}\prod_{l=1}^{r}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j\in J\\ i\leqslant l}} x_j\gamma_j\Big)^{n_l}\prod_{j\in J} d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)\\
&\quad - p^{\sum_{l=1}^r n_l}\sum_{\substack{J\subset\{1,\ldots,r\}\\ 1\in J}} \Big(\frac{1-c}{2}\Big)^{r-|J|}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{|J|}}\prod_{l=1}^{r}\Big(x_1+\sum_{\substack{j\in J\\ 1<j\leqslant l}} x_j\gamma_j/p\Big)^{n_l}\prod_{j\in J} d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_j)\\
&= \mathcal{B}(n_1,\ldots,n_r;1,\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)-p^{\sum_{l=1}^r n_l}\mathcal{B}(n_1,\ldots,n_r;1,\gamma_2/p,\ldots,\gamma_r/p;c),\end{aligned}\tag{2.34}$$

which is equal to 0 when $n_1+\cdots+n_r\not\equiv r\pmod 2$ because of (2.31). Let $k_1,\ldots,k_r\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $k_1+\cdots+k_r\not\equiv r\pmod 2$. Then the above consideration implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{J\subsetneq\{1,\ldots,r\}\\ 1\in J}} \Big(\frac{1-c}{2}\Big)^{r-|J|}L_{p,|J|}\Big(\Big(-\sum\nolimits_{\mu} n_l\Big)_{\mu=1}^{|J|};(\omega^{\sum_\mu k_l})_{\mu=1}^{|J|};(\gamma_j)_{j\in J};c\Big)\\
&\qquad + L_{p,r}(-n_1,\ldots,-n_r;\omega^{k_1},\ldots,\omega^{k_r};\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)=0\end{aligned}\tag{2.35}$$

holds on

$$S_{\{k_j\}}=\{(n_1,\ldots,n_r)\in\mathbb{N}^r\mid n_j\in k_j+(p-1)\mathbb{Z}\ (1\leqslant j\leqslant r)\}.$$

Since $S_{\{k_j\}}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Z}_p^r$, we obtain (2.23) in the case $\gamma_1=1$.

Next we consider the case $\gamma_1\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. We can easily check that if $\gamma_1\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$,

$$L_{p,r}((s_j);(\omega^{k_j});(\gamma_j);c)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \langle\gamma_1\rangle^{-s_1-\cdots-s_r}\omega^{k_1+\cdots+k_r}(\gamma_1)\ L_{p,r}((s_j);(\omega^{k_j});(\gamma_j/\gamma_1);c),\\
&L_{p,|J|}\Big(\Big(\sum\nolimits_{\mu} s_l\Big)_{\mu=1}^{|J|};\big(\omega^{\sum_\mu k_l}\big)_{\mu=1}^{|J|};(\gamma_j)_{j\in J};c\Big)\\
&= \langle\gamma_1\rangle^{-s_1-\cdots-s_r}\omega^{k_1+\cdots+k_r}(\gamma_1)L_{p,|J|}\Big(\Big(\sum\nolimits_{\mu} s_l\Big)_{\mu=1}^{|J|};\big(\omega^{\sum_\mu k_l}\big)_{\mu=1}^{|J|};(\gamma_j/\gamma_1)_{j\in J};c\Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $J \subsetneq \{1,\ldots,r\}$ with $1 \in J$. Since we already proved (2.23) for the case $\{\gamma_j/\gamma_1\}_{j=1}^{r}$, we consequently obtain (2.23) for $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ by multiplying both sides by

$$
\langle\gamma_1\rangle^{-s_1-\cdots-s_r}\omega^{k_1+\cdots+k_r}(\gamma_1).
$$

Thus we obtain the proof of Theorem 2.15. □

Remark 2.18. Note that each p-adic multiple L-function appearing on the right-hand side of (2.23) is of depth lower than r. The relation (2.23) reminds us of the *parity result* for MZVs which implies that the MZV whose depth and weight are of different parity can be expressed as a polynomial in MZVs of lower depth with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients. In fact, (2.35) shows that $L_{p,r}((-n_j);(\omega^{k_j});(\gamma_j);c)$ can be expressed as a polynomial in p-adic multiple L-values of lower depth than r at non-positive integers with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients, when $n_1+\cdots+n_r \not\equiv r \pmod 2$. This can be regarded as a p-adic version of the parity result for p-adic multiple L-values. By the density property, we obtain its continuous version, that is, the functional relation (2.23).

The following result corresponds to the case $r=1$ in Theorem 2.15.

Example 2.19. Setting $r=1$ in (2.34), we obtain from Definition 1.10 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\frac{1-c}{2}\Big)^{r-1}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} x_1^{n_1}\,d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_1)\ \left(=\Big(\frac{1-c}{2}\Big)^{r-1}\big(c^{n_1+1}-1\big)\,L_p(-n_1;\omega^{n_1+1})\right)\\
&= \mathcal{B}(n_1;1;c)-p^{n_1}\mathcal{B}(n_1;1;c)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1 \not\equiv 1 \pmod 2$, namely n_1+1 is odd, due to (2.31). Hence, if k is odd, then $L_p(-n_1;\omega^k)=0$ for $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1+1 \equiv k \pmod{p-1}$. This implies

$$
L_p(s,\omega^k) \equiv 0
$$

when k is odd, the statement of Proposition 1.13. Thus, we can regard Theorem 2.15 as a multiple version of Proposition 1.13.

Next, we consider the case $r=2$.

Example 2.20. Let $k,l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $2 \nmid (k+l)$, $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $\gamma_2 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Then, for $s_1,s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we obtain from (2.23) and (1.26) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&L_{p,2}(s_1,s_2;\omega^k,\omega^l;\gamma_1,\gamma_2;c)\\
&= \frac{c-1}{2}\langle\gamma_1\rangle^{-s_1-s_2}\omega^{k+l}(\gamma_1)\,\big(\langle c\rangle^{1-s_1-s_2}\omega^{k+l+1}(c)-1\big)\,L_p(s_1+s_2;\omega^{k+l+1}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.36}
$$

Note that this functional relation in the case $p>2$, $c=2$ and $\gamma_1=1$ was already proved in [18] by a different method.

Remark 2.21. As we noted above, when $k+l$ is even, $L_p(s;\omega^{k+l+1})$ is the zero-function, so is the right-hand side of (2.36). On the other hand, even if $k+l$ is even, the left-hand side of (2.36) is not necessarily the zero-function. In fact, it follows from (2.9) that

$$L_{p,2}(-1,-1;\omega,\omega;1,\gamma_2;c)=\frac{(1-c^2)^2(1-p)}{4}B_2^2\gamma_2$$

for $\gamma_2\in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, which does not vanish if $\gamma_2\neq 0$, so $L_{p,2}(s_1,s_2;\omega,\omega;1,\gamma_2;c)$ is not the zero-function. As a result, $L_{p,2}(s_1,s_2;\omega^k,\omega^l;1,\gamma_2;c)$ seems to have more information than the Kubota-Leopoldt p-adic L-functions.

Lastly, we consider the case $r=3$.

Example 2.22. Let $k_1,k_2,k_3\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $2\mid(k_1+k_2+k_3)$, $\gamma_1\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $\gamma_2,\gamma_3\in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $c\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Then for $s_1,s_2,s_3\in\mathbb{Z}_p$, we obtain from (2.23) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{p,3}(s_1,s_2,s_3;\omega^{k_1},\omega^{k_2},\omega^{k_3};\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3;c)\\
&=\frac{1-c}{2}L_{p,2}(s_1,s_2+s_3;\omega^{k_1},\omega^{k_2+k_3};\gamma_1,\gamma_2;c)+\frac{1-c}{2}L_{p,2}(s_1+s_2,s_3;\omega^{k_1+k_2},\omega^{k_3};\gamma_1,\gamma_3;c)\\
&\quad-\left(\frac{1-c}{2}\right)^2\langle\gamma_1\rangle^{-s_1-s_2-s_3}\omega^{k_1+k_2+k_3}(\gamma_1)\\
&\qquad\times\left(\langle c\rangle^{1-s_1-s_2-s_3}\omega^{k_1+k_2+k_3+1}(c)-1\right)L_p(s_1+s_2+s_3;\omega^{k_1+k_2+k_3+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that from (1.26) the third term on the right-hand side vanishes because $L_p(s;\omega^k)$ is the zero function when k is odd.

Using Theorem 2.15 and Examples 2.20 and 2.22, we can immediately obtain the following result by induction on $r\geqslant 2$.

Corollary 2.23. *Let $r\in\mathbb{N}_{\geqslant 2}$, $k_1,\ldots,k_r\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $k_1+\cdots+k_r\not\equiv r \pmod 2$, $\gamma_1\in\mathbb{Z}_p$, $\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_r\in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $c\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Then*

$$L_{p,r}(s_1,\ldots,s_r;\omega^{k_1},\ldots,\omega^{k_r};\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r;c)$$

can be expressed as a polynomial in p-adic j-ple L-functions for $j\in\{1,2,\ldots,r-1\}$ satisfying $j\not\equiv r$ (mod 2), with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients.

3. Special values of p-adic multiple L-functions at positive integers

In the previous section, particularly in Theorem 2.1, we saw that the special values of our p-adic multiple L-functions at non-positive integers are expressed in terms of the twisted multiple Bernoulli numbers (Definition 1.4), which are the special values of the complex multiple zeta-functions of generalized Euler-Zagier-Lerch type at non-positive integers (cf. [11]).

In this section, we will discuss their special values at positive integers. In the complex case, the special values of multiple zeta functions (the function (0.2) with all ξ_j and γ_j are 1) at positive integers are given by the special values of multiple polylogarithms at unity (cf. [11]). To consider the p-adic case, we will introduce specific p-adic functions in each subsection.

Our main result is Theorem 3.41 where we will show a p-adic analogue of the equality (3.30). The result generalizes Coleman's work [7]. We will establish in Theorem 3.41 a close relationship of our p-adic multiple L-functions with the p-adic TMSPLs [2], which are star-variants of generalizations of

---

[2] We remind that TMSPL stands for the twisted multiple star polylogarithm (see §0).

p-adic multiple polylogarithm introduced by the first-named author [9, 10] and Yamashita [26] for investigating the p-adic realization of certain motivic fundamental group. It is achieved by showing that the special values of p-adic multiple L-functions at positive integers are described by p-adic twisted multiple L-star values; the special values at unity of the p-adic TMSPLs (Definition 3.29, see also [9, 26]) constructed by Coleman's p-adic iterated integration theory [7]. To relate p-adic multiple L-functions with p-adic TMSPLs, we shall introduce p-adic rigid TMSPLs (Definition 3.4) and their partial versions (Definition 3.16) as intermediate objects and investigate their several basic properties mainly in Subsections 3.1 and 3.2.

3.1. **p-adic rigid twisted multiple star polylogarithms.** In this subsection, we introduce p-adic rigid TMSPLs (Definition 3.4), and give a description of special values of p-adic multiple L-functions at positive integers via special values of p-adic rigid TMSPLs at roots of unity (Theorem 3.9), which extends Coleman's result (3.7).

First, we briefly review the necessary basics of rigid analysis in our specific case.

Notation 3.1 (cf. [5, 8])**.** Let $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}_p$, $\delta_1,\dots,\delta_n \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, and $\delta_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geqslant 0}$. The space

$$X = \left\{ z \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \;\middle|\; |z-\alpha_i|_p \geqslant \delta_i \ (i=1,\dots,n),\, |z|_p \leqslant 1/\delta_0 \right\} \tag{3.1}$$

is equipped with the structure of an *affinoid*, a special type of rigid analytic space. A *rigid analytic function* on X is a function $f(z)$ on X which admits the convergent expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{m\geqslant 0} a_m(\infty; f) z^m + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m>0} \frac{a_m(\alpha_i; f)}{(z-\alpha_i)^m}$$

with $\mathbb{C}_p$-coefficients. The expressions are unique (the Mittag-Leffler decompositions), which can be shown from, for example, [8, I.1.3]. We denote the algebra of rigid analytic functions on X by $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(X)$.

Notation 3.2. For a in $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$, we let $\overline{a} = \mathrm{red}(a)$, where the reduction map red is defined as

$$\mathrm{red} : \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \to \mathbf{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \left(= \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \cup \{\overline{\infty}\}\right),$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$. For a finite subset $D \subset \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$, we define $\overline{D} = \mathrm{red}(D) \subset \mathbf{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. For $a_0 \in \mathbf{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, we define its tubular neighborhood $]a_0[= \mathrm{red}^{-1}(a_0)$. Namely, $]\overline{a}[= \{x \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \mid |x-a|_p < 1\}$ for $a \in \mathbb{C}_p$, $]\overline{0}[= \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ and $]\overline{\infty}[= \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)\setminus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$. For a finite subset $S \subset \mathbf{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, we define $]S[:= \mathrm{red}^{-1}(S) \subset \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$. By abuse of notation, we denote $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S[)$ by $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)$.

We recall two fundamental properties of rigid analytic functions:

Proposition 3.3 ([5, Chapter 6], etc.)**.** *Let X be as in* (3.1)*. Then the following holds.*

(i) *The coincidence principle: If two rigid analytic functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ coincide in a subaffinoid of X, then they coincide on the whole of X.*

(ii) *The algebra $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(X)$ forms a Banach algebra with the supremum norm.*

The following function plays the main role in this subsection:

Definition 3.4. Let $n_1,\dots,n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $|\xi_j|_p \leqslant 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. The **$p$-adic rigid TMSPL** $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$ is defined by the following p-adic power series:

$$\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z) := \sum_{\substack{0<k_1\leqslant\cdots\leqslant k_r \\ (k_1,p)=\cdots=(k_r,p)=1}} \frac{\xi_1^{k_1}\cdots\xi_r^{k_r}}{k_1^{n_1}\cdots k_r^{n_r}} z^{k_r}, \tag{3.2}$$

which converges for $z \in]\bar{0}[= \{x \in \mathbb{C}_p \mid |x|_p < 1\}$, by the assumption $|\xi_j|_p \leqslant 1$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$.

It will be proved that it is rigid analytic (Proposition 3.7) and, furthermore, overconvergent (Theorem 3.22). We remark that when $r = 1$, $\ell_n^{(p),\star}(1; z)$ is equal to the p-adic polylogarithm $\ell_n^{(p)}(z)$ in [7, p.196]. The following integral expressions are generalizations of [7, Lemma 7.2]:

Theorem 3.5. *Let $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $|\xi_j|_p \leqslant 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. Define the finite subset S of $\boldsymbol{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ by* [3]

$$S = \{\overline{\xi_r^{-1}}, \overline{(\xi_{r-1}\xi_r)^{-1}}, \dots, \overline{(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_{r-1})^{-1}}\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Then the p-adic rigid TMSPL $\ell_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^{(p),\star}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z)$ is extended to $\boldsymbol{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S[$ as a function in z by the following p-adic integral expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ell_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^{(p),\star}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z) = \\
&\int_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^r)'_{\{1\}}} \langle x_1 \rangle^{-n_1} \langle x_1 + x_2 \rangle^{-n_2} \cdots \langle x_1 + \cdots + x_r \rangle^{-n_r} \cdot \omega(x_1)^{-n_1} \omega(x_1 + x_2)^{-n_2} \cdots \omega(x_1 + \cdots + x_r)^{-n_r} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times d\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r z}(x_1) \cdots d\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_r z}(x_r),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $(\mathbb{Z}_p^r)'_{\{1\}} = \Big\{(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^r \;\Big|\; p \nmid x_1, p \nmid (x_1 + x_2), \dots, p \nmid (x_1 + \cdots + x_r)\Big\}$ (cf. (1.23)).

Proof. Since $\langle x \rangle \cdot \omega(x) = x \neq 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, the right-hand side of (3.4) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^r)'_{\{1\}}} x_1^{-n_1} (x_1 + x_2)^{-n_2} \cdots (x_1 + \cdots + x_r)^{-n_r} d\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r z}(x_1) \cdots d\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_r z}(x_r) \\
&\quad = \lim_{M \to \infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant l_1, \dots, l_r < p^M \\ (l_1, p) = \cdots = (l_1 + \cdots + l_r, p) = 1}} \frac{\xi_1^{l_1} \xi_2^{l_1 + l_2} \cdots \xi_r^{l_1 + \cdots + l_r}}{l_1^{n_1} (l_1 + l_2)^{n_2} \cdots (l_1 + \cdots + l_r)^{n_r}} z^{l_1 + \cdots + l_r} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{1}{1 - (\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r z)^{p^M}} \cdots \frac{1}{1 - (\xi_{r-1} \xi_r z)^{p^M}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (\xi_r z)^{p^M}}. \qquad (3.5) \\
&\quad = \lim_{M \to \infty} g_{n_1, \dots, n_r}^M (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z) \qquad \text{(say)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By direct calculation, it can be shown that it is equal to the right-hand side of (3.2) when $|z|_p < 1$. □

As for $g_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^M(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z)$ defined in the above proof, we have

Lemma 3.6. *Fix $n_1, \dots, n_r, M \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $|\xi_j|_p = 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. Then for $z_0 \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]\overline{\xi_r^{-1}}, \overline{(\xi_{r-1}\xi_r)^{-1}}, \dots, \overline{(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r)^{-1}}[$, we have*

$$g_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^M(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$$

and

$$g_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^{M+1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z_0) \equiv g_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^M(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z_0) \pmod{p^M}.$$

Proof. When $z_0 \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]\overline{\xi_r^{-1}}, \overline{(\xi_{r-1}\xi_r)^{-1}}, \dots, \overline{(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r)^{-1}}, \overline{\infty}[$, namely when $z_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} -]S[$, it is clear that $g_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^M(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z_0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$. In the definition of $g_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^{M+1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z)$, l_j $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$ belongs to the interval $[0, p^{M+1})$. Writing $l_j = l'_j + kp^M$ $(0 \leqslant l'_j < p^M$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant p - 1)$, we have

$$g_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^{M+1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r; z_0) \equiv \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant l'_1, \dots, l'_r < p^M \\ (l'_1, p) = \cdots = (l'_1 + \cdots + l'_r, p) = 1}} \frac{\xi_1^{l'_1} \xi_2^{l'_1 + l'_2} \cdots \xi_r^{l'_1 + \cdots + l'_r} z_0^{l'_1 + \cdots + l'_r}}{l_1'^{n_1} (l'_1 + l'_2)^{n_2} \cdots (l'_1 + \cdots + l'_r)^{n_r}}$$

---

[3] Here, we ignore the multiplicity.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times \{1+(\xi_1\cdots\xi_r z_0)^{p^M}+(\xi_1\cdots\xi_r z_0)^{2p^M}+\cdots+(\xi_1\cdots\xi_r z_0)^{(p-1)p^M}\}\\
&\times \{1+(\xi_2\cdots\xi_r z_0)^{p^M}+(\xi_2\cdots\xi_r z_0)^{2p^M}+\cdots+(\xi_2\cdots\xi_r z_0)^{(p-1)p^M}\}\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdots\\
&\times \{1+(\xi_r z_0)^{p^M}+(\xi_r z_0)^{2p^M}+\cdots+(\xi_r z_0)^{(p-1)p^M}\}\\
&\times \frac{1}{1-(\xi_1\cdots\xi_r z)^{p^{M+1}}}\cdots\frac{1}{1-(\xi_{r-1}\xi_r z)^{p^{M+1}}}\cdot\frac{1}{1-(\xi_r z)^{p^{M+1}}} \pmod{p^M}\\
&\quad = g^M_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z).
\end{aligned}
$$

When $z_0\in]\overline{\infty}[$, let $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{z_0}\in]\bar{0}[$. By direct calculation, $g^M_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z_0)\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$. We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^M_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z_0) = &\sum_{\substack{0\leqslant l_1,\dots,l_r<p^M\\ (l_1,p)=\cdots=(l_1+\cdots+l_r,p)=1}} \frac{(\frac{\xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_r}{\varepsilon})^{l_1}(\frac{\xi_2\cdots\xi_r}{\varepsilon})^{l_2}\cdots(\frac{\xi_{r-1}\xi_r}{\varepsilon})^{l_{r-1}}(\frac{\xi_r}{\varepsilon})^{l_r}}{l_1^{n_1}(l_1+l_2)^{n_2}\cdots(l_1+\cdots+l_r)^{n_r}}\\
&\times\frac{1}{1-(\frac{\xi_1\cdots\xi_r}{\varepsilon})^{p^M}}\cdots\frac{1}{1-(\frac{\xi_{r-1}\xi_r}{\varepsilon})^{p^M}}\cdot\frac{1}{1-(\frac{\xi_r}{\varepsilon})^{p^M}}\\
=(-1)^r &\sum_{\substack{0\leqslant l_1,\dots,l_r<p^M\\ (l_1,p)=\cdots=(l_1+\cdots+l_r,p)=1}} \frac{(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_r})^{p^M-l_1}(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_2\cdots\xi_r})^{p^M-l_2}\cdots(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_{r-1}\xi_r})^{p^M-l_{r-1}}(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_r})^{p^M-l_r}}{l_1^{n_1}(l_1+l_2)^{n_2}\cdots(l_1+\cdots+l_r)^{n_r}}\\
&\times\frac{1}{1-(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_1\cdots\xi_r})^{p^M}}\cdots\frac{1}{1-(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_{r-1}\xi_r})^{p^M}}\cdot\frac{1}{1-(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_r})^{p^M}}\\
=(-1)^r &\sum_{\substack{0\leqslant l_1,\dots,l_r<p^M\\ (l_1,p)=\cdots=(l_1+\cdots+l_r,p)=1}} \frac{(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_r})^{l_1}(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_2\cdots\xi_r})^{l_2}\cdots(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_{r-1}\xi_r})^{l_{r-1}}(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_r})^{l_r}}{(p^M-l_1)^{n_1}(2p^M-l_1-l_2)^{n_2}\cdots(rp^M-l_1-\cdots-l_r)^{n_r}}\\
&\cdot\frac{1}{1-(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_1\cdots\xi_r})^{p^M}}\cdots\frac{1}{1-(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_{r-1}\xi_r})^{p^M}}\cdot\frac{1}{1-(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_r})^{p^M}}\\
\equiv(-1)^{r+n_1+\cdots+n_r} &\sum_{\substack{0\leqslant l_1,\dots,l_r<p^M\\ (l_1,p)=\cdots=(l_1+\cdots+l_r,p)=1}} \frac{(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_r})^{l_1}(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_2\cdots\xi_r})^{l_2}\cdots(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_{r-1}\xi_r})^{l_{r-1}}(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_r})^{l_r}}{{l_1}^{n_1}(l_1+l_2)^{n_2}\cdots(l_1+\cdots+l_r)^{n_r}}\\
&\cdot\frac{1}{1-(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_1\cdots\xi_r})^{p^M}}\cdots\frac{1}{1-(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_{r-1}\xi_r})^{p^M}}\cdot\frac{1}{1-(\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi_r})^{p^M}} \pmod{p^M}\\
=(-1)^{r+n_1+\cdots+n_r}&g^M_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1^{-1},\dots,\xi_r^{-1};\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by our previous argument, and by $|\xi_j|_p=|\xi_j^{-1}|_p=1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{M+1}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z_0)&\equiv(-1)^{r+n_1+\cdots+n_r}g^{M+1}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1^{-1},\dots,\xi_r^{-1};\varepsilon)\\
&\equiv(-1)^{r+n_1+\cdots+n_r}g^{M}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1^{-1},\dots,\xi_r^{-1};\varepsilon)\equiv g^M_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z_0)\pmod{p^M}.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 imply the following:

Proposition 3.7. *Fix $n_1,\dots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r\in\mathbb{C}_p$ with $|\xi_j|_p=1$ ($1\leqslant j\leqslant r$). By the integral formula* (3.4)*, the p-adic rigid TMSPL $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$ is a rigid analytic function on $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)-]S[$. Namely,*

$$\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)\in A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S).$$

Proof. Since the space $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S[$ is an affinoid and the algebra $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)$ forms a Banach algebra with the supremum norm (cf. Notation 3.1), by Lemma 3.6, the rational functions

$$g^M_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z) \in A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)$$

uniformly converge to a rigid analytic function $\ell(z) \in A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)$ when M tends to ∞, thanks to Proposition 3.3. It is easy to see that the restriction of $\ell(z)$ to $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S[$ coincides with (3.5), and hence, with $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$. Therefore, the analytic continuation of $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$ is given by $\ell(z)$. □

From now on, we will employ the same symbol $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$ to denote its analytic continuation.

We note that, by (3.5),

Lemma 3.8. *For $n_1,\dots,n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $|\xi_j|_p = 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$, we have*

$$\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;\infty) = 0.$$

Proof. By direct calculation, $g^M_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;\infty) = 0$. The claim then follows because $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$ is defined to be the limit of $g^M_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$. □

The special values of p-adic multiple L-functions at positive integer points are described in terms of the special values of p-adic rigid TMSPLs at roots of unity, as follows:

Theorem 3.9. *For $n_1,\dots,n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p) = 1$,*

$$L_{p,r}(n_1,\dots,n_r;\omega^{-n_1},\dots,\omega^{-n_r};1,\dots,1;c) = \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=\cdots=\xi_r^c=1 \\ \xi_1\cdots\xi_r\neq 1,\ \dots,\ \xi_{r-1}\xi_r\neq 1,\ \xi_r\neq 1}} \ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;1). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. By the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p,r}(n_1,\dots,&n_r;\omega^{-n_1},\dots,\omega^{-n_r};1,\dots,1;c) = \\ &\int_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^r)'_{\{1\}}} \langle x_1\rangle^{-n_1}\langle x_1+x_2\rangle^{-n_2}\cdots\langle x_1+\cdots+x_r\rangle^{-n_r} \\ &\qquad \cdot\omega(x_1)^{-n_1}\omega(x_1+x_2)^{-n_2}\cdots\omega(x_1+\cdots+x_r)^{-n_r}d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_1)\cdots d\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c(x_r), \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c = \sum_{\substack{\xi^c=1\\ \xi\neq 1}} \mathfrak{m}_\xi$. By (3.4) and

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_c)^r &= \Big\{\sum_{\substack{\xi_1'^c=1\\ \xi_1'\neq 1}} \mathfrak{m}_{\xi_1'}\Big\} \times \Big\{\sum_{\substack{\xi_2'^c=1\\ \xi_2'\neq 1}} \mathfrak{m}_{\xi_2'}\Big\} \times\cdots\times \Big\{\sum_{\substack{\xi_r'^c=1\\ \xi_r'\neq 1}} \mathfrak{m}_{\xi_r'}\Big\} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=\cdots=\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_1\cdots\xi_r\neq 1,\dots,\xi_{r-1}\xi_r\neq 1,\xi_r\neq 1}} \mathfrak{m}_{\xi_1\cdots\xi_r}\times\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_2\cdots\xi_r}\times\cdots\times\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_{r-1}\xi_r}\times\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_r}, \end{aligned}$$

the formula follows. □

Remark 3.10. It is worth noting that the right-hand side of (3.6) is p-adically continuous, not only with respect to $n_1,\dots,n_r$, but also with respect to c, by Theorem 1.24.

As a special case when $r = 1$ of Theorem 3.9, we recover Coleman's formula in [7, p.203], below, by Example 1.19.

Example 3.11. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$,

$$(c^{1-n}-1)\cdot L_p(n;\omega^{1-n}) = \sum_{\substack{\xi^c=1\\ \xi\neq 1}} \ell_n^{(p),\star}(\xi;1). \tag{3.7}$$

When $r=2$, we have:

Example 3.12. For $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$,

$$L_{p,2}(n_1,n_2;\omega^{-n_1},\omega^{-n_2};1,1;c) = \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=\xi_2^c=1\\ \xi_1\xi_2\neq 1,\ \xi_2\neq 1}} \ell_{n_1,n_2}^{(p),\star}(\xi_1,\xi_2;1).$$

3.2. **p-adic partial twisted multiple star polylogarithms.** We will prove that p-adic rigid TMSPLs (Definition 3.4) are overconvergent in Theorem 3.21. In order to do so, p-adic partial TMSPLs will be introduced in Definition 3.16, and their properties will be investigated.

First, we recall the notion of overconvergent functions and rigid cohomologies in our particular case (consult [1] for a general theory)

Notation 3.13. Let $S=\{s_0,\dots,s_d\}$ (all s_i's are distinct) be a finite subset of $\mathbf{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Let $\widehat{s_i}$ be a lift of s_i. An *overconvergent function* on $\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S$ is a function belonging to the $\mathbb{C}_p$-algebra

$$A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S) := \underset{\lambda\to 1^-}{\text{ind-lim}}\ A^{\mathrm{rig}}(U_\lambda),$$

where U_λ is the affinoid obtained by removing all closed discs of radius λ around $\widehat{s_i}$ from $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$, i.e.

$$U_\lambda := \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)\setminus \bigcup_{0\leqslant i\leqslant d} z_i^{-1}\left(\{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_p \mid |\alpha|_p\leqslant\lambda\}\right) \tag{3.8}$$

and z_i is a local parameter

$$z_i :]s_i[\xrightarrow{\sim}]\bar{0}[. \tag{3.9}$$

(Note that the above $\widehat{s_i}$ is equal to $z_i^{-1}(0)$.) An overconvergent function on $\mathbf{P}^1\backslash S$ is, in short, a function which can be analytically extended to an affinoid which is bigger than $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]s_0,s_1,\dots,s_d[$. We note that the definition of the space of overconvergent functions does not depend on the choice of local parameter z_i.

Denote by $\mathbb{C}_p[[x,y]]$ the ring of formal power series in x,y with $\mathbb{C}_p$-coefficients. The following lemmas are quite useful:

Lemma 3.14. *Assume $s_0=\overline{\infty}$ and take $\widehat{s_0}=\infty$. Then we have a description:*

$$A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S) \simeq \left\{ f(z)=\sum_{r\geqslant 0} a_r(\widehat{s_0};f)z^r + \sum_{l=1}^{d}\sum_{m>0}\frac{a_m(\widehat{s_l};f)}{(z-\widehat{s_l})^m} \in \mathbb{C}_p[[z,\frac{1}{z-\widehat{s_l}}]] \,\middle|\, \frac{|a_m(\widehat{s_l};f)|_p}{\lambda^m}\to 0\ (m\to\infty)\ \textit{for some } 0<\lambda<1 \quad (0\leqslant l\leqslant d) \right\}, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S) \simeq \left\{ f(z)=\sum_{m\geqslant 0} a_m(\widehat{s_0};f)z^m + \sum_{l=1}^{d}\sum_{m>0}\frac{a_m(\widehat{s_l};f)}{(z-\widehat{s_l})^m} \in \mathbb{C}_p[[z,\frac{1}{z-\widehat{s_l}}]] \,\middle|\, |a_m(\widehat{s_l};f)|_p\to 0\ (m\to\infty)\ \textit{for } 0\leqslant l\leqslant d \right\}. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. They follow from the definitions (cf. Notation 3.1). □

We note that

$$A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S) \subset A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S).$$

The following is one of the most important properties of overconvergent functions.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $f(z) \in A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)$. Under the assumption in Lemma 3.14, there exists a unique (up to additive constant) solution $F(z) \in A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)$ of the differential equation*

$$dF(z) = f(z)dz$$

if and only if the residues of the differential 1*-form $f(z)dz$, i.e. $a_1(\widehat{s}_l; f)$ $(1 \leqslant l \leqslant d)$ are all* 0*.*

Proof. When $a_1(\widehat{s}_l; f)$ $(1 \leqslant l \leqslant d)$ are all 0, integrations of $f(z)$ in (3.10) are formally given by the following power series

$$\sum_{r \geqslant 1} \frac{a_{r-1}(\widehat{s}_0; f)}{r} \cdot z^r + \sum_{l=1}^{d} \sum_{m>0} \frac{a_{m+1}(\widehat{s}_l; f)}{-m} \cdot \frac{1}{(z-\widehat{s}_l)^m} + \text{constant}.$$

By replacing the λ by λ' such that $\lambda < \lambda' < 1$, and using $\mathrm{ord}_p(n) = O(\log n / \log p)$, we then get

$$\frac{|a_{m+1}(\widehat{s}_l; f)|_p}{|m|_p} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda'^m} \to 0 \quad (m \to \infty),$$

whence $F(z)$ belongs to $A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)$. The 'if'-part is obtained. The 'only if'-part is easy. □

The lemma actually is a consequence of the fact that $\dim H^1_\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S) = d$.

The following function is the main object in this subsection:

Definition 3.16. Let $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $|\xi_j|_p \leqslant 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 < \alpha_j < p$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. The **$p$-adic partial TMSPL** $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots \xi_r; z)$ is defined by the following p-adic power series:

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z) := \sum_{\substack{0<k_1\leqslant\cdots\leqslant k_r \\ k_1\equiv\alpha_1,\ldots,k_r\equiv\alpha_r \bmod p}} \frac{\xi_1^{k_1}\cdots\xi_r^{k_r}}{k_1^{n_1}\cdots k_r^{n_r}} z^{k_r}, \tag{3.12}$$

which converges for $z \in]\bar{0}[$.

Similar to (3.5), we have the following limit expression of them.

Proposition 3.17. *Let $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $|\xi_j|_p \leqslant 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$, and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < \alpha_j < p$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. When $z \in]\bar{0}[$, the function $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z)$ can be expressed as*

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z) = \lim_{M\to\infty} \sum_{\substack{0\leqslant l_1,\ldots,l_r<p^M \\ l_1\equiv\alpha_1, l_1+l_2\equiv\alpha_2,\ldots,l_1+\cdots+l_r\equiv\alpha_r \bmod p}} \frac{\xi_1^{l_1}\xi_2^{l_1+l_2}\cdots\xi_r^{l_1+\cdots+l_r} z^{l_1+\cdots+l_r}}{l_1^{n_1}(l_1+l_2)^{n_2}\cdots(l_1+\cdots+l_r)^{n_r}}$$
$$\cdot \frac{1}{1-(\xi_1\cdots\xi_r z)^{p^M}} \cdots \frac{1}{1-(\xi_{r-1}\xi_r z)^{p^M}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-(\xi_r z)^{p^M}}. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. It can be proved by direct calculation. □

It is worth noting that here the condition $\alpha_j \neq 0$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$ is necessary to make the limit convergent.

Proposition 3.18. *Let* $n_1,\ldots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}$, $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r\in\mathbb{C}_p$ *such that* $|\xi_j|_p=1$ $(1\leqslant j\leqslant r)$, *and* $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r\in\mathbb{N}$ *such that* $0<\alpha_j<p$ $(1\leqslant j\leqslant r)$. *Take* S *as in* (3.3). *Then the function* $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z)$ *can be analytically extended to* $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)-]S[$ *as a rigid analytic function. Namely,*

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z)\in A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S).$$

Proof. The relation

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z)=\frac{1}{p^r}\sum_{\rho_1^p=\cdots=\rho_r^p=1}\rho_1^{-\alpha_1}\cdots\rho_r^{-\alpha_r}\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\rho_1\xi_1,\ldots,\rho_r\xi_r;z) \tag{3.14}$$

holds on $]\overline{0}[$. Since $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\rho_1\xi_1,\ldots,\rho_r\xi_r;z)$ is rigid analytic on the above space by Proposition 3.7, the function $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z)$ can be extended there as a rigid analytic function. □

From now on, we will employ the same symbol $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z)$ to denote its analytic continuation.

The following formulas are necessary to prove our Theorem 3.21.

Lemma 3.19. *Let* $n_1,\ldots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}$, $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r\in\mathbb{C}_p$ *such that* $|\xi_j|_p=1$ $(1\leqslant j\leqslant r)$, *and* $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r\in\mathbb{N}$ *such that* $0<\alpha_j<p$ $(1\leqslant j\leqslant r)$.

(i) *For* $n_r\neq 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dz}\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z)=\frac{1}{z}\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_{r-1},n_r-1}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z).$$

(ii) *For* $n_r=1$ *and* $r\neq 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dz}\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z)=\begin{cases}\frac{\xi_r(\xi_rz)^{\alpha_r-\alpha_{r-1}-1}}{1-(\xi_rz)^p}\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{r-1}),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_{r-1}}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_{r-2},\xi_{r-1};\xi_rz) & \\ \qquad\qquad \textit{if}\quad \alpha_r\geqslant\alpha_{r-1}, & \\ \frac{\xi_r(\xi_rz)^{\alpha_r-\alpha_{r-1}+p-1}}{1-(\xi_rz)^p}\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{r-1}),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_{r-1}}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_{r-2},\xi_{r-1};\xi_rz) & \\ \qquad\qquad \textit{if}\quad \alpha_r<\alpha_{r-1}. & \end{cases}$$

(iii) *For* $n_r=1$ *and* $r=1$ *with* $\xi_1=\xi$ *and* $\alpha_1=\alpha$,

$$\frac{d}{dz}\ell^{\equiv\alpha,(p),\star}_{1}(\xi;z)=\frac{\xi(\xi z)^{\alpha-1}}{1-(\xi z)^p}.$$

Proof. They can be proved by direct calculation. □

By Lemma 3.8 and (3.14), we remark that:

Remark 3.20. For $n_1,\ldots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}$, $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r\in\mathbb{C}_p$ such that $|\xi_j|_p=1$ $(1\leqslant j\leqslant r)$ and $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $0<\alpha_j<p$ $(1\leqslant j\leqslant r)$, the following hold:

(i) $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;0)=\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;\infty)=0$.

(ii) $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z)=\sum_{0<\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r<p}\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z)$.

Next, we discuss a new property of $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z)$.

Theorem 3.21. *Let* $n_1,\ldots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}$, $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r\in\mathbb{C}_p$ *such that* $|\xi_j|_p=1$ $(1\leqslant j\leqslant r)$, *and* $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r\in\mathbb{N}$ *such that* $0<\alpha_j<p$ $(1\leqslant j\leqslant r)$. *Take* S *as in* (3.3). *The function* $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z)$ *is an overconvergent function on* $\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S$. *Namely,*

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_r;z)\in A^{\dagger}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S).$$

Proof. It is achieved by induction on the weight $n_1+\cdots+n_r$.

(i) Assume that the weight is equal to 1, i.e. $r=1$ and $n_1=1$. By changing variable to $w(z)=\frac{1}{\xi z-1}$, we see that $\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\{\overline{\xi^{-1}}\}$ is identified with $\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\{\overline{\infty}\}$. By direct calculation, it can be checked that $\frac{(\xi z)^{\alpha-1}}{1-(\xi z)^p}\cdot\frac{dz}{dw}$, as a function in w, belongs to $A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\{\overline{\infty}\})$. [4] Hence, by Lemma 3.15, there exists a unique (modulo constant) function $F(w)$ in $A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\{\overline{\infty}\})$ such that

$$\frac{dF}{dw}=\frac{\xi(\xi z)^{\alpha-1}}{1-(\xi z)^p}\cdot\frac{dz}{dw}.$$

Therefore, there exists a unique function $F(z)$ in $A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\{\overline{\xi^{-1}}\})$ such that

$$F(0)=0\quad\text{and}\quad\frac{dF(z)}{dz}=\frac{\xi(\xi z)^{\alpha-1}}{1-(\xi z)^p}.$$

By Proposition 3.18 and Lemma 3.19 (iii), $\ell_1^{\equiv\alpha,(p),\star}(\xi;z)$ is the unique function in $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\{\overline{\xi^{-1}}\})$ satisfying the above properties, and $F(z)|_{\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)-]\overline{\xi^{-1}}[}$ belongs to $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\{\overline{\xi^{-1}}\})$. Thus, we have

$$F(z)|_{\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)-]\overline{\xi^{-1}}[}\equiv\ell_1^{\equiv\alpha,(p),\star}(\xi;z).$$

By the coincidence principle of rigid analytic functions, we deduce that $\ell_1^{\equiv\alpha,(p),\star}(\xi;z)$ can be uniquely extended to a rigid analytic space bigger than $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)-]\overline{\xi^{-1}}[$ by $F(z)\in A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\{\overline{\xi^{-1}}\})$.

(ii) Assume that $n_r\neq 1$. We let

$$S_\infty=S\cup\{\overline{\infty}\}\qquad\text{and}\qquad S_{\infty,0}=S\cup\{\overline{\infty}\}\cup\{\bar{0}\},$$

and take a lift $\{\widehat{s_0},\widehat{s_1},\dots,\widehat{s_d}\}$ of $S_{\infty,0}$, with

$$\widehat{s_0}=\infty\qquad\text{and}\qquad\widehat{s_1}=0.$$

For a finite subset $\widetilde{S}$ of $\mathbf{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, let $\Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\widetilde{S})$ be the space of overconvergent differential 1-forms there, i.e. $\Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\widetilde{S})=A^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\widetilde{S})dz$.

By the assumption

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1},n_r-1}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{r-1},\xi_r;z)\in A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S),$$

and by $\frac{dz}{z}\in\Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\{\overline{\infty},\overline{0}\})$, we have

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1},n_r-1}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{r-1},\xi_r;z)\frac{dz}{z}\in\Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S_{\infty,0}).$$

Let

$$f(z):=\frac{1}{z}\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1},n_r-1}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{r-1},\xi_r;z)\in A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S_{\infty,0}).\tag{3.15}$$

Since $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1},n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$ belongs to $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)\Big(\subset A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S_{\infty,0})\Big)$ by Proposition 3.18, and it satisfies the differential equation in Lemma 3.19 (i), i.e. its differential is equal to $f(z)$, we have, in particular, that

$$a_m(\widehat{s_1};f)=0\qquad(m>0)\tag{3.16}$$

(recall that $\widehat{s_1}=0$) and

$$a_1(\widehat{s_l};f)=0\qquad(2\leqslant l\leqslant d),\tag{3.17}$$

by (3.10) and (3.11).

By (3.15) and (3.16),

$$f(z)\in A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S_\infty).$$

---

[4] We note that it also follows from the fact that it is a rational function on w whose poles are all of the form $w=\frac{1}{\zeta_p-1}$ with $\zeta_p\in\mu_p$.

By (3.17) and Lemma 3.15, there exists a unique function $F(z)$ in $A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S_\infty)$, i.e. a function $F(z)$ which is rigid analytic on an affinoid V of

$$\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S_\infty[\quad = \quad \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]\overline{\infty}, S[$$

such that

$$F(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad dF(z) = f(z)dz. \tag{3.18}$$

Since $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1},n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$ is the unique function in $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)$ satisfying (3.18), the restrictions of both $F(z)$ and $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1},n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$ to the subspace $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S_\infty[$ must coincide, i.e.

$$F(z)\Big|_{\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)-]S_\infty[} \equiv \ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1},n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)\Big|_{\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)-]S_\infty[}.$$

Hence by the coincidence principle of rigid analytic functions, there is a rigid analytic function $G(z)$ on the union of V and $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S[$ whose restriction to V is equal to $F(z)$ and whose restriction to $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S[$ is equal to $\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1},n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$. Therefore,

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1},n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z) \in A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)$$

can be rigid analytically extended to a bigger rigid analytic space by $G(z)$, namely,

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z) \in A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S).$$

(iii) Assume that $n_r = 1$ $(r \geqslant 2)$, and let

$$\beta(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{\xi_r(\xi_r z)^{\alpha_r-\alpha_{r-1}-1}}{1-(\xi_r z)^p} & \text{if} \quad \alpha_r \geqslant \alpha_{r-1}, \\ \frac{\xi_r(\xi_r z)^{\alpha_r-\alpha_{r-1}+p-1}}{1-(\xi_r z)^p} & \text{if} \quad \alpha_r < \alpha_{r-1}. \end{cases}$$

By the assumption

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{r-1}),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1}}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{r-2},\xi_{r-1};\xi_r z) \in A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{\overline{\xi_r^{-1}},\dots,\overline{(\xi_1\cdots\xi_r)^{-1}}\}),$$

and by $\beta(z)dz \in \Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{\overline{\infty},\overline{\xi_r^{-1}}\})$, we have

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{r-1}),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1}}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{r-2},\xi_{r-1};\xi_r z) \cdot \beta(z)dz \in \Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S_\infty).$$

Let

$$f(z) := \ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{r-1}),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1}}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{r-2},\xi_{r-1};\xi_r z) \cdot \beta(z) \in A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S_\infty).$$

Then it follows from the same arguments as in (ii) that

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z) \in A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S).$$

□

We saw, in Proposition 3.7, that $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$ is a rigid analytic function: $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z) \in A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)$. But, in fact, we can say more:

Theorem 3.22. *Let $n_1,\dots,n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $|\xi_j|_p = 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. Take S as in* (3.3). *Then the function $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$ is an overconvergent function on $\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S$:*

$$\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z) \in A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.21, we have

$$\ell^{\equiv(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r),(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)\in A^{\dagger}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S).$$

Then by Remark 3.20 (ii), we have $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)\in A^{\dagger}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$, since $A^{\dagger}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$ forms an algebra. □

Example 3.23. In particular, when $r=1$, we have

$$\ell^{(p),\star}_{n}(1;z)\in A^{\dagger}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\{\bar{1}\}).$$

In fact, in [7, Proposition 6.2], Coleman showed that

$$\ell^{(p),\star}_{n}(1;z)\in A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\widetilde{X}),$$

with $\widetilde{X}=\left\{z\in\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)\;\middle|\;|z-1|_p>p^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\right\}$.

Remark 3.24. In [10, Definition 2.13], the first-named author introduced the overconvergent p-adic MPL $Li^{\dagger}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(z)$. The relationship between $Li^{\dagger}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(z)$ and $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$ is still under investigation.

3.3. **p-adic twisted multiple star polylogarithms.** In [9, 26], p-adic TMSPLs (Definition 3.29) were introduced as multiple generalizations of Coleman's p-adic polylogarithms [7], and the p-adic multiple L-values are defined as their special values at 1. The aim of this subsection is to clarify the relationship between p-adic rigid TMSPLs (Definition 3.4) and p-adic TMSPLs in Theorem 3.35, and to establish the relationship between special values at positive integers of our p-adic multiple L-functions (see Definition 1.16) and the p-adic twisted multiple L-star values, the special values of p-adic TMSPLs at 1, in Theorem 3.40. Theorem 3.35 extends the previous result (3.31) of Coleman in the depth 1 case, shown in [7].

First we recall Coleman's p-adic iterated integration theory [7] for our particular case. For other nice expositions of his theory, see [3, Section 5], [6, Subsection 2.2.1] and [9, Subsection 2.1]. The integration here is different from the integration using a certain p-adic measure which is explained in Subsection 1.1.

Notation 3.25. Fix $\varpi\in\mathbb{C}_p$. The *p-adic logarithm* $\log^{\varpi}$ *associated to the branch* ϖ is defined to be the locally analytic group homomorphism $\mathbb{C}_p^{\times}\to\mathbb{C}_p$ with the usual Taylor expansion for the logarithm on $]\bar{1}[=1+\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$. It is uniquely characterized by $\log^{\varpi}(p)=\varpi$ because $\mathbb{C}_p^{\times}\simeq p^{\mathbb{Q}}\times\mu_{\infty}\times(1+\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}_p})$. We call this $\varpi\in\mathbb{C}_p$ the *branch parameter* of the p-adic logarithm.

Let $S=\{s_0,\dots,s_d\}$ (all s_i are distinct) be a finite subset of $\mathbf{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Define

$$A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S):=\prod_{x\in\mathbf{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)}A^{\varpi}_{\log}(U(x)),\qquad \Omega^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S):=\prod_{x\in\mathbf{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)}\Omega^{\varpi}_{\log}(U(x)),$$

where

$$A^{\varpi}_{\log}(U(x)):=\begin{cases}A^{\mathrm{rig}}(]x[) & (\text{if } x\notin S),\\ \lim\limits_{\lambda\to1^-}A^{\mathrm{rig}}\Big(]x[\,\cap U_{\lambda}\Big)\Big[\log^{\varpi}(z_i)\Big] & (\text{if } x=s_i\quad(0\leqslant i\leqslant d)),\end{cases}$$

$$\Omega^{\varpi}_{\log}(U(x)):=\begin{cases}A^{\mathrm{rig}}(]x[)\cdot dz_x & (\text{if } x\notin S),\\ A^{\varpi}_{\log}(U(x))dz_i & (\text{if } x=s_i\quad(0\leqslant i\leqslant d)).\end{cases}$$

Here, U_λ is the same affinoid as in (3.8), z_i is a local parameter as in (3.9) and z_x is a local parameter of $]x[$. We note that $\log^{\varpi}(z_i)$ is a locally analytic function defined on $]s_i[-z_i^{-1}(0)$, whose differential is $\frac{dz_i}{z_i}$, and it is transcendental over $A^{\mathrm{rig}}\Big(]s_i[\cap U_\lambda\Big)$, and

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 1^-} A^{\mathrm{rig}}\Big(]s_i[\cap U_\lambda\Big) \cong \Big\{f(z_i)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z_i^n \ (a_n\in\mathbb{C}_p) \text{ converging on } \lambda<|z_i|_p<1 \text{ for some } 0\leqslant\lambda<1\Big\}$$

(see [2]). We remark that these definitions of $A^{\varpi}_{\log}(U(x))$ and $\Omega^{\varpi}_{\log}(U(x))$ are independent of any choice of local parameters modulo standard isomorphisms. By taking a component-wise derivative, we obtain a $\mathbb{C}_p$-linear map $d: A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)\to\Omega^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$. We may regard $A^{\dagger}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$ and $\Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$ in Notation 3.13 to be subspaces of $A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$ and $\Omega^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$, respectively.

By a result of Coleman [7], we have an $A^{\dagger}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$-subalgebra

$$A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$$

of $A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$, which we call *the ring of Coleman functions* attached to a branch parameter $\varpi\in\mathbb{C}_p$, and a $\mathbb{C}_p$-linear map

$$\int_{(\varpi)} : A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S) \underset{A^{\dagger}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)}{\otimes} \Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)\to A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)\Big/\mathbb{C}_p\cdot 1$$

satisfying $d\mid_{A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)}\circ\int_{(\varpi)} = id_{A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)\otimes\Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)}$, which we call *the p-adic (Coleman) integration* attached to a branch parameter $\varpi\in\mathbb{C}_p$. We often drop the subscript (ϖ).

Since $A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$ is a subspace of $A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$, each element f of $A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$ can be seen as a map $f: U\to\mathbb{C}_p$ by $f|_{U\cap]x[}\in A^{\varpi}_{\log}(U_x)$ for each residue $]x[$, where U is an affinoid bigger than $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)-]S[$. This is how we regard f as a function.

Here we recall two important properties of Coleman functions:

Proposition 3.26 (The Branch Independency Principle [9, Proposition 2.3])**.** *For* $\varpi_1,\varpi_2\in\mathbb{C}_p$, *define the isomorphisms*

$$\iota_{\varpi_1,\varpi_2}: A^{\varpi_1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)\overset{\sim}{\to} A^{\varpi_2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S) \quad \textit{and} \quad \tau_{\varpi_1,\varpi_2}:\Omega^{\varpi_1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)\overset{\sim}{\to}\Omega^{\varpi_2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$$

which are obtained by replacing each $\log^{\varpi_1}(z_{s_i})$ *by* $\log^{\varpi_2}(z_{s_i})$ *for* $1\leqslant i\leqslant d$. *Then*

$$\iota_{\varpi_1,\varpi_2}(A^{\varpi_1}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)) = A^{\varpi_2}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S),$$

$$\tau_{\varpi_1,\varpi_2}(A^{\varpi_1}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)\otimes\Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)) = A^{\varpi_2}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)\otimes\Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S),$$

and

$$\iota_{\varpi_1,\varpi_2}\circ\int_{(\varpi_1)} = \int_{(\varpi_2)}\circ\tau_{\varpi_1,\varpi_2} \mod \mathbb{C}_p\cdot 1.$$

Namely the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A^{\varpi_1}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S) \underset{A^{\dagger}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)}{\otimes} \Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S) \arrow[r, "\tau_{\varpi_1,\varpi_2}"] \arrow[d, "\int_{(\varpi_1)}"'] & A^{\varpi_2}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S) \underset{A^{\dagger}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)}{\otimes} \Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S) \arrow[d, "\int_{(\varpi_2)}"] \\
A^{\varpi_1}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)\Big/\mathbb{C}_p\cdot 1 \arrow[r, "\iota_{\varpi_1,\varpi_2}"] & A^{\varpi_2}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)\Big/\mathbb{C}_p\cdot 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 3.27 (The Coincidence Principle [7, Chapter IV])**.** *Let* $\varpi\in\mathbb{C}_p$, *and let* $f\in A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1\setminus S)$. *Suppose that* $f|_U\equiv 0$ *for an admissible open subset* U *of* $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$, *that is,* U *is of the form*

$\{z \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \mid |z-\alpha_i|_p > \delta_i \ (i=1,\dots,n), |z|_p < 1/\delta_0\}$ for some $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}_p$ and $\delta_1,\dots,\delta_n \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and $\delta_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geqslant 0}$ (cf. [3, 7]). Then $f=0$.

It follows from this proposition that a locally constant Coleman function is globally constant.

Notation 3.28. Fix a branch $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}_p$ and let $\omega \in A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S) \underset{A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)}{\otimes} \Omega^{\dagger,1}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)$. Then by Coleman's integration theory, there exists a unique (modulo constant) Coleman function $F_\omega \in A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S)$ such that $\int \omega \equiv F_\omega$ (modulo constant). For $x, y \in]\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S[$, we define

$$\int_x^y \omega := F_\omega(y) - F_\omega(x).$$

It is clear that $\int_x^y \omega$ does not depend on the choice of F_ω (although it may depend on the choice of a branch $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}_p$). If both $F_\omega(x)$ and $F_\omega(y)$ is well-defined when x or y belongs to $]S_0[$, we also denote $F_\omega(y) - F_\omega(x)$ by $\int_x^y \omega$. By letting x be fixed and y vary, we may regard $\int_x^y \omega$ as the Coleman function which is characterized by $dF_\omega = \omega$ and $F_\omega(x) = 0$. We note that

$$\iota_{\varpi_1,\varpi_2}\left(\int_{(\varpi_1),x}^y \omega\right) = \int_{(\varpi_2),x}^y \tau_{\varpi_1,\varpi_2}(\omega). \tag{3.19}$$

We will apply Coleman's theory to the function defined below, which is the main object in this subsection.

Definition 3.29. Let $n_1,\dots,n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $|\xi_j|_p \leqslant 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. The ***p*-adic TMSPL** $Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z)$ is defined by the following p-adic power series:

$$Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z) := \sum_{0<k_1\leqslant\cdots\leqslant k_r} \frac{\xi_1^{k_1}\cdots\xi_r^{k_r} z^{k_r}}{k_1^{n_1}\cdots k_r^{n_r}}, \tag{3.20}$$

which converges for $z \in]\bar{0}[$.

Remark 3.30.

(i) We note that when $r=1$ and $\xi=1$, $Li^{(p),\star}_n(1;z)$ is equal to Coleman's p-adic polylogarithm $\ell_n(z)$ in [7, p. 195].

(ii) The p-adic multiple polylogarithm $Li^{(p)}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(1,\dots,1;z)$ which is a non-star version of (3.20) with $\xi_1 = \cdots = \xi_r = 1$, was investigated by the first-named author in [10]. It is easy to see that $Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(1,\dots,1;z)$ is written as a linear combination of such p-adic multiple polylogarithms.

(iii) Yamashita [26] treats a non-star version of (3.20) with the case when all ξ_j are roots of unity, whose orders are prime to p.

The following can be proved by direct calculation.

Lemma 3.31. *Let $n_1,\dots,n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $|\xi_j|_p \leqslant 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$.*

(i) $\displaystyle \frac{d}{dz} Li^{(p),\star}_1(\xi_1;z) = \frac{\xi_1}{1-\xi_1 z}.$

(ii) $\displaystyle \frac{d}{dz} Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{z} Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1},n_r-1}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;z) & \text{if} \quad n_r \neq 1, \\ \frac{\xi_r}{1-\xi_r z} Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_{r-1}}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{r-2},\xi_{r-1}\xi_r;z) & \text{if} \quad n_r = 1. \end{cases}$

The definition, below, is suggested by the differential equations, above.

Theorem-Definition 3.32. *Fix a branch of the p-adic logarithm by $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}_p$. Let $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $|\xi_j|_p \leqslant 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$, and let*

$$S_r := \{\bar{0}, \overline{\infty}, \overline{(\xi_r)^{-1}}, \overline{(\xi_{r-1}\xi_r)^{-1}}, \ldots, \overline{(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r)^{-1}}\} \subset \mathbf{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p).$$

Then we have the Coleman function attached to $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}_p$

$$Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z) \in A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S_r),$$

which is constructed by the following iterated integrals:

$$Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{1}(\xi_1; z) = -\log^{\varpi}(1 - \xi_1 z) = \int_0^z \frac{\xi_1}{1 - \xi_1 t} dt, \tag{3.21}$$

$$Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^z Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_{r-1},n_r-1}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; t) \frac{dt}{t} & \textit{if} \quad n_r \neq 1, \\ \int_0^z Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_{r-1}}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{r-2}, \xi_{r-1}\xi_r; t) \frac{\xi_r dt}{1 - \xi_r t} & \textit{if} \quad n_r = 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.22}$$

Proof. It is achieved by the induction on the weight $w := n_1 + \cdots + n_r$.

When $w = 1$, the integration starting from 0 is well-defined because the differential form $\frac{\xi_1}{1-\xi_1 t} dt$ has no pole at $t = 0$. Hence, we have (3.21).

When $w > 1$ and $n_r > 1$, the induction assumption implies that $Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r-1}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; 0)$ is equal to 0 because it is an integration from 0 to 0. So $Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r-1}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; t)$ has a zero of order at least 1, so the integrand of the right-hand side of (3.22) has no pole at $t = 0$. The integration (3.22) starting from 0 is well-defined. The case when $n_r = 1$ can be proved in a similar (or an easier) way. □

Remark 3.33. It is easy to see that $Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z) = Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z)$ when $|z|_p < 1$ for all branches $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}_p$. Thus, the Coleman function $Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z) \in A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S_r)$ is defined on an affinoid bigger than $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S_r \setminus \{\bar{0}\}[$.

Proposition 3.34. *Fix a branch $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}_p$. Let $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $|\xi_j|_p \leqslant 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. Then the restriction of the p-adic TMSPL $Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z)$ to $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S_r \setminus \{\bar{0}\}[$ does not depend on ϖ.*

Proof. It is achieved by the induction on the weight $w := n_1 + \cdots + n_r$. Take $z \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S_r \setminus \{\bar{0}\}[$.

When $w = 1$, it is easy to see that $Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{1}(\xi_1; z) = -\log^{\varpi}(1 - \xi_1 z)$ is independent of the choice of the branch $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}_p$.

Consider the case when $w > 1$ and $n_r > 1$. Due to the existence of a pole of $\frac{dt}{t}$ at $t = 0$, the integration (3.22) might have a "log-term" on its restriction to $]\bar{0}[$. However, it is easy to see that the restriction of $Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; t)$ to $]\bar{0}[$ has no log-term, because it is given by the series expansion (3.20) by Remark 3.33. By the induction assumption, the restriction of $Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r-1}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; t)$ to $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S_r \setminus \{\bar{0}\}[$ is independent of any choice of the branch $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}_p$. Therefore, $Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; t)$ has no log-term and does not depend on any choice of the branch.

The above proof also works for the case when $w > 1$ and $n_r = 1$. The branch independency then follows since two poles of $\frac{\xi_r dt}{1-\xi_r t}$ are outside of the region $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S_r \setminus \{\bar{0}\}[$. □

The p-adic rigid TMSPL $\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z)$ in Subsection 3.1 is described by the p-adic TMSPL $Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z)$ as follows:

Theorem 3.35. *Fix a branch $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}_p$. Let $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $|\xi_j|_p = 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. The equality*

$$\begin{aligned}&\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z)\\ &\quad= \frac{1}{p^r} \sum_{0<\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r<p} \sum_{\rho_1^p=\cdots=\rho_r^p=1} \rho_1^{-\alpha_1} \cdots \rho_r^{-\alpha_r} Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\rho_1\xi_1, \ldots, \rho_r\xi_r; z)\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

holds for $z \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S_r \setminus \{\overline{0}\}[$.

Proof. By the power series expansion (3.12) and (3.20), and Remark 3.20 (ii), it is easy to see that the equality holds on $]\overline{0}[$. By Theorem 3.22, the left-hand side belongs to $A^\dagger(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S_r)$ $(\subset A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S_r))$, and by Theorem-Definition 3.32, the right-hand side belongs to $A^{\varpi}_{\mathrm{Col}}(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus S_r)$. Therefore, by the coincidence principle (Proposition 3.27), the equality actually holds on $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S_r \setminus \{\overline{0}\}[$, on an affinoid bigger than the space. □

The following is a reformulation of the equation in Theorem 3.35.

Theorem 3.36. *Fix a branch $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}_p$. Let $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $|\xi_j|_p = 1$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r)$. The equality*

$$\begin{aligned}&\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z)\\ &\quad= Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z) + \sum_{d=1}^{r} \left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d \sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r} \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1} \cdots \sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1} Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}\Big(((\prod_{l=1}^{d} \rho_{i_l}^{\delta_{i_l j}})\xi_j); z\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

holds for $z \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S_r \setminus \{\overline{0}\}[$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.

Proof. It follows by direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}\ell^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z) &= \sum_{\substack{0<k_1\leqslant\cdots\leqslant k_r\\ (k_1,p)=\cdots=(k_r,p)=1}} \frac{\xi_1^{k_1} \cdots \xi_r^{k_r} z^{k_r}}{k_1^{n_1} \cdots k_r^{n_r}} = \sum_{0<k_1\leqslant\cdots\leqslant k_r} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\rho_i^p=1} \rho_i^{k_i}\right) \frac{\xi_i^{k_i}}{k_i^{n_i}} \right\} z^{k_r}\\ &= Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r; z) + \sum_{d=1}^{r} \left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d \sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r} \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1} \cdots \sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1} Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}\Big(((\prod_{l=1}^{d} \rho_{i_l}^{\delta_{i_l j}})\xi_j); z\Big).\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 3.37. In the case when $r = 1$ and $\xi_1 = 1$, (3.24) gives

$$\ell^{(p),\star}_{n}(1; z) = Li^{(p),\star}_{n}(1; z) - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\rho^p=1} Li^{(p),\star}_{n}(\rho; z).$$

Combining this with the distribution relation (cf. [7])

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\eta\in\mu_r} Li^{(p),\star}_{n}(\eta; z) = \frac{1}{r^n} Li^{(p),\star}_{n}(1; z^r) \tag{3.25}$$

for $r \geqslant 1$, we recover Coleman's formula

$$\ell^{(p),\star}_{n}(1; z) = Li^{(p),\star}_{n}(1; z) - \frac{1}{p^n} Li^{(p),\star}_{n}(1; z^p),$$

shown in [7, Section VI].

We define the p-adic twisted multiple L-star values as the special values of the p-adic TMSPLs at unity, under a certain condition, below.

Theorem-Definition 3.38. *Fix a branch* $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}_p$. *Let* $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_r \in \mu_p$ *and* $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r \in \mu_c$ *with* $(c,p) = 1$. *Assume that*

$$\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r \neq 1, \quad \xi_2 \cdots \xi_r \neq 1, \quad \ldots, \quad \xi_{r-1}\xi_r \neq 1, \quad \xi_r \neq 1. \tag{3.26}$$

Then the special value of

$$Li^{(p),\star,\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\rho_1\xi_1, \ldots, \rho_r\xi_r; z) \tag{3.27}$$

at $z = 1$ *is well-defined. Furthermore, it is independent of the choice of the branch* $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}_p$, *and it belongs to* $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{cp})$. *We call this value* **the p-adic twisted multiple L-star value**, *and denote it by*

$$Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\rho_1\xi_1, \ldots, \rho_r\xi_r). \tag{3.28}$$

Proof. By the assumption (3.26),

$$1 \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) -]S_r \setminus \{\overline{0}\}[.$$

Hence the special value of (3.27) at $z = 1$ is well-defined by Remark 3.33.

The branch independency of the special value follows from Proposition 3.34.

The points 0 and 1, and all of the differential 1-forms $\frac{\xi_r dt}{1-\xi_r t}$, $\frac{(\xi_{r-1}\xi_r)dt}{1-(\xi_{r-1}\xi_r)t}$, $\ldots$, $\frac{(\xi_1\cdots\xi_r)dt}{1-(\xi_1\cdots\xi_r)t}$ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{cp})$. Then by the Galois equivalency stated in [4, Remark 2.3], the special value of (3.27) for $z \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{cp})$ is invariant under the action of the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{cp}))$ if we take $\varpi \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{cp})$. Since we have shown that the special values at $z = 1$ are independent of the choice of ϖ, the value (3.28) must belong to $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{cp})$. □

Remark 3.39.

(i) The p-adic multiple zeta values were introduced as the special values at $z = 1$ of p-adic MPL $Li^{(p),\varpi}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(1, \ldots, 1; z)$, the non-star version of the function (3.27) with all $\rho_i = 1$ and $\xi_i = 1$ (thus it is not the case of (3.26)) and their basic properties are investigated by the first-named author in [9].

(ii) The p-adic multiple L-values introduced by Yamashita [26] are special values at $z = 1$ of the non-star version of the function (3.27) with all $\rho_i = 1$ and $(\xi_r, n_r) \neq (1,1)$. Unver's [24] cyclotomic p-adic multi-zeta values might be closely related to Yamashita's values.

By Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 3.35, we have the following theorem. A very nice point, here, is that our assumption (3.26) appeared as the condition of the summation in equation (3.6).

Theorem 3.40. *For* $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$, *and* $c \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ *with* $(c,p) = 1$,

$$L_{p,r}(n_1, \ldots, n_r; \omega^{-n_1}, \ldots, \omega^{-n_r}; 1, \ldots, 1; c) = \frac{1}{p^r} \sum_{0<\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r<p} \sum_{\rho_1^p=\cdots=\rho_r^p=1} \sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=\cdots=\xi_r^c=1 \\ \xi_1\cdots\xi_r\neq 1,\ldots,\xi_{r-1}\xi_r\neq 1,\xi_r\neq 1}} \rho_1^{-\alpha_1} \cdots \rho_r^{-\alpha_r} \cdot Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\rho_1\xi_1, \ldots, \rho_r\xi_r).$$

The above theorem can be reformulated as the following, which is comparable to Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.41. *For $n_1,\dots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&L_{p,r}(n_1,\dots,n_r;\omega^{-n_1},\dots,\omega^{-n_r};1,\dots,1;c)\\ &\quad=\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}}Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}\left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2},\frac{\xi_2}{\xi_3},\dots,\frac{\xi_r}{\xi_{r+1}}\right)\\ &\quad+\sum_{d=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)^d\sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_d\leqslant r}\ \sum_{\rho_{i_1}^p=1}\cdots\sum_{\rho_{i_d}^p=1}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\cdots\sum_{\substack{\xi_r^c=1\\ \xi_r\neq 1}}Li^{(p),\star}_{n_1,\dots,n_r}\Big(\big(\frac{\prod_{l=1}^d\rho_{i_l}^{\delta_{i_l j}}\xi_j}{\xi_{j+1}}\big)\Big),\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

where we set $\xi_{r+1}=1$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 3.36. □

Thus the positive integer values of the p-adic multiple L-function are described as linear combinations of the special values of the p-adic TMSPL (3.27) at roots of unity. This might be regarded as a p-adic analogue of the equality:

$$\zeta_r(n_1,\dots,n_r)=Li_{n_1,\dots,n_r}(1,\dots,1)\tag{3.30}$$

for $n_1,\dots,n_r\in\mathbb{N}$ with $n_r>1$.

As a special case of Theorem 3.40, we have the following, when $r=1$:

Example 3.42. For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$, we have

$$(c^{1-n}-1)\cdot L_p(n;\omega^{1-n})=\frac{1}{p}\sum_{0<\alpha<p}\sum_{\rho^p=1}\sum_{\substack{\xi^c=1\\ \xi\neq 1}}\rho^{-\alpha}Li_n^{(p),\star}(\rho\xi)$$

by Example 1.19. This formula and (3.25) recover Coleman's equation [7, (4)]

$$L_p(n;\omega^{1-n})=(1-\frac{1}{p^n})Li_n^{(p),\star}(1).\tag{3.31}$$

When $r=2$, we have:

Example 3.43. For $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$ and $c\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ with $(c,p)=1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&L_{p,2}(a,b;\omega^{-a},\omega^{-b};1,1;c)\\ &=\frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{0<\alpha,\beta<p}\ \sum_{\rho_1,\rho_2\in\mu_p}\ \sum_{\substack{\xi_1,\xi_2\in\mu_c\\ \xi_1\xi_2\neq 1,\xi_2\neq 1}}\rho_1^{-\alpha}\rho_2^{-\beta}Li^{(p),\star}_{a,b}(\rho_1\xi_1,\rho_2\xi_2)\\ &=\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\sum_{\substack{\xi_2^c=1\\ \xi_2\neq 1}}Li^{(p)}_{a,b}\left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2},\xi_2\right)-\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\rho^p=1}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\sum_{\substack{\xi_2^c=1\\ \xi_2\neq 1}}\left\{Li^{(p),\star}_{a,b}\left(\frac{\rho\xi_1}{\xi_2},\xi_2\right)+Li^{(p),\star}_{a,b}\left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2},\rho\xi_2\right)\right\}\\ &\qquad+\frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{\rho_1^p=1}\sum_{\rho_2^p=1}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1^c=1\\ \xi_1\neq 1}}\sum_{\substack{\xi_2^c=1\\ \xi_2\neq 1}}Li^{(p),\star}_{a,b}\left(\frac{\rho_1\xi_1}{\xi_2},\rho_2\xi_2\right).\end{aligned}$$

In our subsequent paper [12], it is shown that Theorem 3.41 can be generalized for indices (n_j) that are not necessarily all positive integers.

References

1. P. Berthelot, *Cohomologie rigide et cohomologie rigide à support propre, Première partie*, preprint, Université de Rennes, 1996.
2. A. Besser, *Syntomic regulators and p-adic integration II: K_2 of curves*, Israel J. Math. **120** (2000), 335–360.
3. ———, *Coleman integration using the Tannakian formalism*, Math. Ann. **322** (2002), 19–48.
4. A. Besser and R. de Jeu, *The syntomic regulator for the K-theory of fields*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **36** (2003), 867–924.
5. S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert, *Non-Archimedean analysis. A systematic approach to rigid analytic geometry*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **261**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
6. C. Breuil, *Intégration sur les variétés p-adiques (d'aprés Coleman, Colmez)*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 1998/99, Astérisque No. **266** (2000), Exp. No. 860, 5, 319–350.
7. R. Coleman, *Dilogarithms, regulators and p-adic L-functions*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), 171–208.
8. J. Fresnel and M. van der Put, *Géométrie analytique rigide et applications*, Progress in Mathematics **18**, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1981.
9. H. Furusho, *p-adic multiple zeta values. I. p-adic multiple polylogarithms and the p-adic KZ equation*, Invent. Math. **155** (2004), 253–286.
10. ———, *p-adic multiple zeta values. II. Tannakian interpretations*, Amer. J. Math. **129** (2007), 1105–1144.
11. H. Furusho, Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto, and H. Tsumura, *Desingularization of complex multiple zeta-functions*, preprint, submitted.
12. H. Furusho, Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto, and H. Tsumura, *Desingularization of multiple zeta-functions of generalized Hurwitz-Lerch type*, to appear in RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu (`arXiv 1404.4758`).
13. K. Iwasawa, *On p-adic L-functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **89** (1969), 198–205.
14. ———, *Lectures on p-adic L-functions*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. **74**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1972.
15. T. Kashio, *On a p-adic analogue of Shintani's formula*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **45** (2005), 99–128.
16. N. Koblitz, *A new proof of certain formulas for p-adic L-functions*, Duke Math. J. **46** (1979), 455–468.
17. ———, *p-adic Analysis: A Short Course on Recent Work*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **46**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1980.
18. Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto, and H. Tsumura, *Functional equations for double L-functions and values at non-positive integers*, Intern. J. Number Theory **7** (2011), 1441–1461.
19. T. Kubota and H. W. Leopoldt, *Eine p-adische Theorie der Zetawerte I. Einführung der p-adischen Dirichletschen L-Funktionen*, J. reine angew. Math. **214/215** (1964), 328–339.
20. Y. Ohno and W. Zudilin, Zeta stars, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **2** (2008), 325–347.
21. J.-P. Serre, *Formes modulaires et functions zêta p-adiques*, Lecture Notes in Math., **350** (1973), 191–268.
22. B. A. Tangedal and P. T. Young, *On p-adic multiple zeta and log gamma functions*, J. Number Theory **131** (2011), 1240–1257.
23. E. A. Ulanskii, Identities for generalized polylogarithms, (Russian), Mat. Zametki **73** (2003), 613–624; translation in Math. Notes **73** (2003), 571–581.
24. S. Unver, *Cyclotomic p-adic multi-zeta values in depth two*, preprint (2013), `arXiv:1302.6406`.
25. L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **83**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
26. G. Yamashita, *Bounds for the dimensions of p-adic multiple L-value spaces*, Doc. Math. **2010**, Extra volume: Andrei A. Suslin sixtieth birthday, pp. 687–723.

H. Furusho
Graduate School of Mathematics
Nagoya University
Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku
Nagoya 464-8602, Japan
furusho@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Y. Komori
Department of Mathematics
Rikkyo University
Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima-ku
Tokyo 171-8501, Japan
komori@rikkyo.ac.jp

K. Matsumoto
Graduate School of Mathematics
Nagoya University

Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku
Nagoya 464-8602, Japan
kohjimat@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

H. Tsumura
Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences
Tokyo Metropolitan University
1-1, Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji
Tokyo 192-0397, Japan
tsumura@tmu.ac.jp